

PG&E DIABLO CANYON DECOMMISSIONING ENGAGEMENT PANEL

PUBLIC MEETING

COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER

BOARD OF SUPERVISOR'S ROOM

1055 MONTEREY STREET

SAN LUIS OBISPO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2018

6:38 P.M. - 8:37 P.M.

REPORTED BY CAROLYNN E. SPERE, CSR #10091

1 MR. ANDERS: Welcome, Panel and members of the
2 public to the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement
3 Panel meeting. The focus of tonight's meeting is panel
4 recommendations, preliminary recommendations. Before we
5 begin, I would like to ask for Adam to discuss the safety
6 discussion for tonight.

7 MR. PASION: Good evening. So would those with
8 safety assignments, please raise your hands.

9 Thank you.

10 This evening, if we experience an emergency, if
11 we experience an earthquake, rather, just go ahead and
12 duck and cover as best as you can. And I am just going to
13 point to the exits. We have this one in the front of the
14 room, to the left. You can also exit out the doors that
15 you came in to the lobby. And if you go to your left or
16 right, you'll end up on either Higuera or Monterey Street.

17 Thanks, Chuck.

18 MR. ANDERS: Our welcome from PG&E, John.

19 MR. FRANKE: I would like to welcome everybody
20 to the meeting. I think I would be remiss to not reflect
21 on what's going on inside our state right now with the
22 disasters up in the area to the east of Chico and the
23 Paradise area and down south with the unprecedented fires.
24 And I just want to pass, both on my personal and on behalf
25 of the company, the concern for our fellow Californians.

1 This is really kind of crazy, unbelievable times with fire
2 risks that we see in the state. And I just want to pass
3 on, I would be remiss not to reflect on that before the
4 meeting tonight.

5 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, John.

6 The overview of tonight's agenda is tonight is
7 about the panel presenting and discussing, because all the
8 issues have not been resolved, and the panel has some
9 further investigation and discussion, with regard to the
10 recommendations to PG&E and also to the PUC. And so the
11 panel members themselves are going to present tonight on
12 the key topics of the decommissioning process,
13 decommissioning funding, preliminary land use
14 recommendations, and preliminary facility repurposing
15 recommendations. These are recommendations based on the
16 number of meetings that have been had, taken place,
17 workshops and so on.

18 We will do a 10-minute break and provide the
19 opportunity for the public to make comments. I will
20 present to you some information with regard to public
21 input we have received over the last 30 days since the
22 last meeting, and then there will be opportunity for panel
23 discussion. After each of the presentations on the
24 decommissioning topics, there will be an opportunity for
25 the panel to conduct a discussion and present your

1 independent views on these issues. And then we will have
2 introduction for our next meeting in 2019, and then a
3 meeting evaluation. So that's essentially our agenda for
4 this evening.

5 The first discussion is the preliminary
6 decommissioning process. So I am going to -- okay. So
7 Linda Seeley is going to discuss the preliminary
8 decommissioning process.

9 MS. SEELEY: Welcome to -- this is our last
10 meeting of the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Engagement
11 Panel for 2018. We will be taking a break until March of
12 2019. We are very happy to see all of you this evening.
13 Thank you to PG&E for hosting this, and to the County of
14 San Luis Obispo for letting us use this room.

15 Our panel has been very busy. Here is how we
16 started. In February, PG&E put out a request that people
17 who are interested in being on this panel would apply.
18 They had a rather complex application process. They, I
19 think, received about a hundred-and-some applications, and
20 then they ended up selecting us. We are the 11 panel
21 members. One of our panel members is missing this
22 evening, but there are 10 of us here.

23 And on May -- you can see up there what it says
24 what happened. On May 11th was our first actual meeting
25 of the panel. We met out at the education center on

1 Ontario Road, and we went on a huge tour of the facility
2 where we went to the -- not only to the facility, but to
3 all the lands. And it was very stunning for most of us to
4 see the lands especially.

5 This panel was formed because the California
6 Public Utilities Commission, in the settlement with PG&E,
7 wanted to have community input, stakeholder input in the
8 decommissioning planning process and the decommissioning
9 process itself, and that's why we are here. We are not
10 experts. We are all volunteers, but we are all very
11 deeply interested in making San Luis Obispo County better,
12 safer, stronger and more diverse, and so we've devoted
13 many, many hours thus far to imagining how things could
14 look after the two nuclear reactors at Diablo Canyon shut
15 down at the latest in 2024, 2025.

16 On May 30th, we had our first public panel
17 meeting. June 26th, our meeting was about the
18 decommissioning process. July 25 -- we have a meeting
19 once a month on a Wednesday, usually the last Wednesday of
20 the month. This month is different. We had also
21 workshops on how we are -- on how we see -- or not we, how
22 the community wants the lands and the facility to be used
23 after the plant closes down. And we had two days of
24 workshops on the lands, how to use the lands, and two days
25 of workshops on how to repurpose the facility. And then

1 we had two public meetings about those topics where people
2 came and expressed their interest and their vision for
3 what can happen.

4 On October 24th, last month, we had our
5 emergency planning meeting. And tonight, our panel is
6 going to share with you our draft vision statement that we
7 will, with your input, present to the PUC, the California
8 Public Utilities Commission, as a final report in
9 December. We have been working on the vision statement
10 for the past several weeks, and we hope that we have
11 captured the essence of all of the input received during
12 our public workshops and meetings.

13 The vision statement is a living document that
14 will continue to evolve and expand over the life of the
15 decommissioning panel. It is the expression of your
16 thoughts and ideas, as well as those of the panel members,
17 and we will be submitting that in December.

18 In 2019, we will have our workshop -- in
19 February, we will have workshops on spent fuel storage.
20 March 13th, we will have our meeting on spent fuel
21 storage. And on June 12th, the meeting on the economic
22 impacts of decommissioning. We will have four meetings
23 next year. We are going to go to a schedule of quarterly
24 meetings.

25 The Diablo Canyon lands are very beautiful.

1 They have been sensitively cared for by PG&E over the past
2 34 years since the plant went on-line. The infrastructure
3 at the actual plant site is very elaborate. Both the
4 lands and the building can be put to uses that will
5 enhance happiness, prosperity and environmental healing
6 for our community and for all of California.

7 And let's see -- that's not mine, is it? No.

8 MR. ANDERS: I will go through that.

9 MS. SEELEY: You go with that.

10 I want to say one more thing. We have -- we
11 want the future generations to enjoy this wild coastline
12 and the sacred forest of the 12,000 acres of land. We
13 want future scientists, biologists, archeologists,
14 innovators, engineers, indigenous people, poets and
15 historians to use the facilities at Diablo Canyon to
16 improve their quality of life and find answers to some of
17 our society's most challenging problems. One of the most
18 challenging problems we have is the safe storage of
19 nuclear waste, and we will be addressing that topic in
20 March, and I hope that you will come and all of the people
21 that you know will come to our meeting in March. Thank
22 you.

23 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, Linda.

24 I just want to follow up with a couple of
25 details with regard to how the recommendations are

1 structured. The panel, in looking at the ways they wanted
2 to present the recommendations, identified three levels of
3 detail, so to speak. The vision statement is a short,
4 simple overview of a desired outcome. And goals are a
5 more detailed description of the outcome for each
6 decommissioning topic or group of assets, like the lands
7 or like facilities or buildings. And then activities,
8 which the panel changed to recommendations now, this is a
9 living document and it is changing. It is a list of
10 specific activities to achieve the desired goal and is,
11 basically, designed to keep track as to whether it is
12 getting done or not, and it is similar to a task.

13 In addition -- and that's the document that the
14 panel is going to distribute for public comment at this
15 time. But in addition to that information, the panel is
16 compiling a lot of background documents and materials that
17 are shown here. This is all the supplemental information,
18 all the public comments that have been received, maps and
19 resource materials and reports that they've looked at,
20 summaries of the workshops that were conducted and
21 presentations that were made. So all of these materials
22 will be part of that final report that's submitted in
23 December.

24 The opportunity for public comment, our current
25 goal is to present the vision statements tonight and then

1 provide the opportunity for the public to review those
2 vision statements shooting for Monday the 19th, but we
3 will do our best, and then provide approximately two weeks
4 for the public to comment on those. They can submit
5 comments by e-mail. They can submit comments with the
6 on-line comment form. And then the panel will take that
7 information and feedback from the panel on their draft
8 preliminary suggestions and recommendations and ideally in
9 December, early December, adopt a final report that would
10 be submitted to PG&E.

11 So that's the overall strategy and the
12 opportunity for the public to actually take a look at the
13 recommendations from the panel, recognizing they're
14 preliminary and draft at this time, and provide whatever
15 comments the public sees fit, and the panel will then
16 review that and then make their final recommendations. So
17 this is an opportunity -- each of these topics is followed
18 by an opportunity for the panel to discuss, make their own
19 comments, make observations, and share with each other and
20 the public your thoughts and perspectives on each of these
21 individual topics. So this is the overview of what's to
22 come later tonight.

23 And any of the other panel have any comments or
24 suggestions that you would like to add?

25 MR. ANDERS: Lauren and then Kara.

1 MR. BROWN: I think it would be a good idea if
2 we acknowledged that there has been a great deal of public
3 input during this whole process. And tonight, a number of
4 people are here. We appreciate all of the input that we
5 have received. It's been hugely helpful to us to help
6 fashion a set of recommendations that we will be
7 submitting to PG&E.

8 MR. ANDERS: Kara.

9 MS. WOODRUFF: I want to echo what Lauren said.
10 The vision statement that we'll be presenting in draft
11 form to the public is a reflection of what we heard over
12 the last seven months. It's our best attempt to get that
13 right. But I really encourage people to read it and
14 provide comments back so we can redraft it according to
15 that input. And I also want to restate what Linda said
16 earlier, this is just a first vision statement. It will
17 be presented to the PUC at the same time as PG&E presents
18 its triennial report. But we still have a lot of
19 important issues coming up next year -- spent fuel,
20 economic impacts of decommissioning -- and so our plan is
21 to continue to create revisions or additional volumes of
22 the vision statement as we address and receive input on
23 the future issues. So this is just the beginning of a
24 long process. Stay tuned, and we hope the public
25 participates.

1 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

2 Again, our topics that are going to be discussed
3 are recommendations on decommissioning process,
4 decommissioning funding, use of lands, and repurposing of
5 facilities. So the first topic that's going to be
6 discussed is the decommissioning process, and Mr. Frank
7 Meachum is going to lead that discussion.

8 MR. MEACHUM: Thank you. And welcome. Thanks
9 for coming out this evening. This process is, obviously,
10 quite complex. We've had -- as mentioned, we've had a
11 number of public meetings, as well as committee or panel
12 meetings, and they can become quite lively and robust. I
13 can attest to that because my arm is in a sling. And as
14 was mentioned, we did discuss the decommissioning process
15 in our June meeting.

16 This charts illustrates not only the complexity,
17 but the difficulty to read it, unless you can get up real
18 close. But there is two nuclear decommissioning cost
19 triennial proceedings that these submissions are prior to
20 the full shutdown. And CEQA, which is the California
21 Environmental Quality Act, that process has to begin no
22 later than 2020 in order to implement the decommissioning
23 immediately upon shutdown.

24 We've talked a little bit about our vision
25 statements, and a couple of them that I will express here.

1 The decommissioning process should begin immediately upon
2 shutdown and avoid SAFSTOR, which SAFSTOR, basically,
3 suggests that it would allow up to 60-year delay in
4 decontamination and total decommissioning. We strongly
5 support the decommissioning as opposed to SAFSTOR. The
6 process should be timely. It should be cost effective and
7 efficient. And the foremost would be the health, safety
8 and well-being of the local community should be ensured
9 before, during and after the decommissioning process.

10 We have suggested that the Public Utilities
11 Commission should continue the engagement panel at a
12 minimum through the pre-planning decommissioning phase of
13 the decommissioning process, but at our last meeting, Tom
14 Jones, Mr. Jones committed that this panel -- or this will
15 continue all the way through 2072. We won't be here, but
16 there will be a panel.

17 And they should -- also, the CPUC should
18 contemplate the potential establishment of either a
19 successor entity to this panel or augmenting the technical
20 capabilities of this panel during the project execution
21 phase of the decommissioning process that could include
22 technical experts, elected officials, labor, tribal,
23 community and environmental representatives to address
24 future community planning and regulatory issues, if
25 determined to be necessary.

1 That's it. Questions?

2 MR. ANDERS: Okay. Thank you.

3 And as I indicated earlier, and you alluded to,
4 there is not always complete agreement on perspectives.
5 That's what makes a healthy panel and a good discussion.
6 So Mr. Alex Karlin would like to present his view of what
7 the process for the engagement -- the community engagement
8 process should look like.

9 MR. KARLIN: Thank you, Chuck.

10 Yes, I have an alternate vision that I believe
11 in quite strongly. And the committee and the panel has
12 listened to me and may not all agree. And I think it's
13 important, and they gave me a special opportunity to do
14 five minutes, maybe seven minutes, to give you -- give the
15 public an understanding of this alternate vision that I
16 have.

17 In December of 2018, PG&E is going to file a
18 request with PUC for \$4 billion-plus for the
19 decommissioning cost of Diablo Canyon or for more money to
20 raise the rates for everyone to pay for this additional
21 amount. That decommissioning cost process will take about
22 16, 15 months, and there will be lots of people
23 intervening to discuss whether that's an appropriate
24 amount to be included. Meanwhile, the decommissioning
25 process itself, as we said at our meeting on the subject

1 in June, is going to take decades, many decades. It won't
2 even start until 2025, and at best it might be done in 10
3 years. And at worst, it can be another 60 years after
4 that, 2085. We hope that's not going to happen. In
5 addition, the spent fuel may be stored on the site longer
6 than that. And so these are going to be huge impacts,
7 environmental and economical, on this community.

8 And I believe as part of the ratemaking that's
9 going to be starting in one month, that we should ask the
10 PUC to establish the more robust and sustainable
11 decommissioning advisory panel. And I don't think that
12 the panel that we've got -- we have all worked hard. We
13 have all worked in good faith, but I don't think it's an
14 adequate structure for the strength that we are going to
15 need.

16 Why is that? First, the long haul. This is
17 going to take many decades. Second, the horsepower. This
18 is a committee of volunteers. The people have limited
19 time, limited resources, limited knowledge and
20 understanding. And we bring different perspectives, and
21 that's a strength, but we have no resources to support our
22 investigation of this except such as PG&E will share with
23 us. We have no expertise. And we don't fully represent
24 the community very well.

25 In addition, I don't think the Diablo Canyon

1 engagement panel that I would contemplate would have
2 greater independence. It would be composed of government
3 officials which would be designated as such to serve from
4 the county, from the cities, from the Five Cities, maybe
5 from the state. Some of them will be elected or designees
6 of elected, some of them may be agency personnel,
7 designated as such, participating as such. Those people
8 would have resources, they would have staying power, and
9 they would be able to sustain the effort over the many
10 decades this is going to take.

11 I think if we did that, it would provide -- and
12 my benchmarks for this are three states -- Vermont,
13 Massachusetts, New York. They all have decommissioning
14 advisory panels, and they are all created by the state. A
15 state agency or the state government created the panel,
16 chartered the panel, and decided on the composition of the
17 panel, and also subjected it to public processes. It
18 establishes the nomination and appointment process for
19 members of the panel, establishes procedures for the panel
20 to conduct meetings and make decisions. We don't even
21 have a decision-making process in any formal way, as far
22 as I can tell. It establishes procedures to deal with
23 conflicts of interest that might exist with the panel
24 members, establishes procedures for open meetings and
25 public participation. We don't have formal stuff that's

1 imposed on us to do that. And I think the charter should
2 come from the CPUC, and it should be established a
3 stronger thing.

4 So in the end, my vision is to ask the CPUC to
5 establish such a robust and sustainable advisory panel to
6 deal with the long haul as part of the decommissioning
7 triennial proceeding that's going to start in December.
8 And it will be resolved in 2020, so this panel will still
9 exist until 2020, for a year or two. And then once,
10 hopefully, the PUC would act upon that and would create
11 something more sustainable and robust.

12 And that's an alternate vision that I have that
13 my colleagues don't share. Some of them may share some
14 elements. And I just thought the public should understand
15 that because among other things, Alliance For Nuclear
16 submitted a letter to this panel requesting that sort of
17 establishment of an entity like that, and I happen to
18 agree with them.

19 And the chairman of the SONGS panel came up here
20 last month and he gave a presentation, and he said, "I
21 don't see how you can possibly function without
22 representation from governmental officials, and I don't
23 see how you can possibly function without technical
24 support on your board." And I agree with him.

25 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, Alex.

1 MR. KARLIN: Thank you.

2 MR. ANDERS: Now is the opportunity for
3 discussion on the recommendations. Anyone from the panel
4 have any -- yes, Frank.

5 MR. MEACHUM: And as Alex mentioned, there is
6 some disagreement with his suggestion, but there is some
7 agreement with it as well. I do agree with a number of
8 points he has made in terms of the ongoing process. Some
9 of us are going to be leaving this panel over the next few
10 years, and to establish a panel that's to be comprised of
11 experts is going to be important as time progresses. It's
12 going to be a long haul.

13 Alex has also asked to write a minority report
14 relative to our process, and I support that. I think
15 everyone here has an opportunity and should be afforded
16 the opportunity to speak and say what they think and to
17 put forward a report if they wish.

18 MR. ANDERS: Any other comments? Questions?
19 Thoughts?

20 Linda.

21 MS. SEELEY: Thank you, Alex. We have had an
22 offer from the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee,
23 which is comprised of nuclear engineers, three of them --
24 I don't know if they are engineers or what, but anyway,
25 they are nuclear experts -- to act as our technical

1 experts for this panel. So that, I think, is a good
2 thing.

3 And I just wanted to say something about this
4 panel, that the amount of time -- I think that there is
5 something to be said for an unpaid, all-volunteer panel
6 because, for one thing, we have established a good working
7 relationship with each other. And I think everybody on
8 this panel respects all the others. We have put a lot of
9 time into it for -- I tried to figure it out how much time
10 we've each put in, about 125 hours each, at least, and
11 some people have put in twice that much since we started
12 in May. We have gone on tours. We have gone to Pacific
13 Wildlife Care. We've gone to the Marine Mammal Center,
14 the Pismo Preserve, Wild Cherry Canyon. We've gone up to
15 CSU Monterey Bay to see how it looks to transfer -- that
16 was an army base -- navy? Army? Army, I think, before --

17 MR. MEACHUM: Navy.

18 MS. SEELEY: Navy, sorry, Frank -- before it
19 became a university. And they had a lot of contamination
20 to deal with, all kinds of ordinance and chemicals and all
21 that kind of thing. So there is a similarity, and they do
22 have an advisory panel comprised of elected officials and
23 so on. And they told us about how many problems there
24 were with that, so I don't think there is any golden
25 solution to it.

1 We met with the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety
2 Committee, and we are scheduled this Friday to go out to
3 the Wind Wolves Preserve, which is over in Kern County.
4 And it's this huge, beautiful conservancy that can maybe
5 give us some ideas on what the land conservancy can look
6 like at Diablo. And then we are also going to take a trip
7 to San Onofre, in a van, and go to their next community
8 engagement panel on November 29th so we can see how they
9 operate and how -- and they do have elected officials and
10 they do have some -- one expert, maybe, on their panel.
11 I'm not sure. But they are also a volunteer panel. They
12 are not paid. And then some of us or going to go up to
13 the California Public Utilities Commission meeting in
14 December, so we are busy, busy. And thank goodness that
15 we have the opportunity. It's like a -- I don't know, I
16 kind of consider it to be like my biggest service project.

17 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, Linda.

18 Nancy, and then John.

19 MS. O'MALLEY: I just want to mention that our
20 panel really is a community engagement panel, and our
21 charter is really not to provide technical oversight. And
22 I'm not sure if everyone is aware but there is a Diablo
23 Canyon Independent Safety Commission. And this is the
24 only nuclear power plant in the country that has an
25 independent safety commission, and they have made their

1 expertise available to us. And PG&E has also provided
2 expertise and can make any experts, really, that we ask
3 them to, come speak to us to provide. So just so you
4 know, that's how we are bridging the gap right now.

5 The Independent Safety Commission has a mandate.
6 Their charter will go all the way through until
7 decommissioning in 2025. And at that time, they may
8 continue or at that time, who knows what PG&E will provide
9 at that time, but there will definitely be several layers
10 of oversight for technical issues, so just to reassure you
11 there.

12 And then regarding benchmarking of how PG&E came
13 up with this panel, that is something that we can ask them
14 to provide probably more information on that. I think
15 we'd all like to know what research did you do, what did
16 you use for benchmarking, what other panels did you look
17 at. There must have been a lot of thought and insight of
18 how you came up with this particular type of panel and why
19 you chose not to use more government officials and
20 technical experts. And maybe that's something that you
21 can provide for us at a later date.

22 Thank you.

23 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

24 MR. FRANKE: I just want to, as the
25 representative from PG&E to the panel, I just want to talk

1 about a couple of things. First of all, the time spent,
2 Linda, that you described, really very, very generous, and
3 I can't tell you how much it is worth to the company as we
4 move forward.

5 We are unique in the fact that we have a
6 technical panel that is established through government
7 agencies and chosen by state law to provide technical
8 expertise. We are unique there, but what we needed to
9 hear, and I think we got in spades, was the voice of the
10 community. And I think we were seeking a diversity of
11 thought, a diversity of opinion. And if you knew the
12 backgrounds of the panel, it would be hard to argue that
13 we don't have that diversity in thought. And I know
14 moving forward with the vision statement, I would just
15 like to say the vision statement written by the panel is
16 supported by PG&E from a staffing perspective, but the
17 words are the panel's. In fact, I purposely, as a member
18 of the panel, I didn't even vote on the vision statement
19 because we wanted to hear from the community, not from the
20 company. And I just want to thank the panel for that.

21 And going forward, we are committed in our
22 filing in December. We are going to file for this panel
23 to continue through the disposition of the spent fuel
24 facility, so long past when the active decommissioning has
25 completed, we want to continue to have the opportunity to

1 continue to interact with our community. And I know that
2 over time as the decommissioning process goes from a plant
3 operating and planning to plant shutdown and
4 decommissioning, and finally an independent fuel facility,
5 that the needs of the panel may change, so we will
6 continue to look at that and evolve over time, I'm sure.

7 MR. MEACHUM: Can you answer Nancy's question
8 regarding the benchmarks?

9 MR. FRANKE: Yeah. You know, every benchmark is
10 different, right? So we were cognizant of the special
11 conditions here at Diablo Canyon, through things like the
12 DCIS, the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee, as
13 well as what we saw as anticipated agency and government
14 interactions. That chart that Frank showed, many of those
15 swim lanes were the opportunity for elected officials and
16 agencies in California to engage in the process, so we
17 looked at that.

18 And I don't know if I always like putting Tom
19 Jones on the spot. Tom actually helped develop the
20 process working with the community, and maybe he could
21 talk a little bit about how we came up with this
22 particular tact. Remember, unlike SONGS, we didn't choose
23 the participants of this panel. We actually used a
24 formation committee, but Tom can talk a little bit more
25 about that benchmarking.

1 MR. JONES: Sure. So we looked at the
2 benchmarks that you have referenced. We also looked at
3 our own experience in operating panels throughout
4 California, including the Humboldt Bay decommissioned
5 facility that's going quite well. We looked at SONGS
6 closely because it's almost analogous in terms of
7 regulatory requirements. And we, again, also had the
8 Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Commission.

9 Two other data points, one was that this was in
10 response to the joint proposal. That's the application
11 that retired Diablo Canyon Power Plant. And in that, we
12 volunteered the public process before making any
13 disposition of the lands, and subsequently the CPUC looked
14 at it as part of their order that, "Yes, PG&E, we expect
15 you to operate a community and public outreach process,
16 though undefined, before making any disposition decisions
17 on lands." So we had a directive from our chief regulator
18 that it be first around lands, and then we expanded that
19 role when we met with the panel and when you all talked
20 about adding fuel, adding these other things because
21 that's the natural course of the project. So those are
22 the things that we took into account in addition to the
23 other benchmarks and our own experience of operating
24 panels.

25 MR. FRANKE: It is probably worth noting that

1 the fact that we are filing for the panel to continue
2 through the end of the spent fuel period, it's -- by
3 filing with the CPUC, we anticipate that will come back in
4 the form of the order associated with it. So in essence,
5 this panel will have the authority -- the authority of the
6 panel will be created through the agencies, through the
7 CPUC and the commission.

8 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

9 Alex, and then Sherri, and then Lauren.

10 MR. KARLIN: A couple things with regard to the
11 remarks. First, I contemplate that the new entity would
12 also be volunteers. I am not suggesting that they be
13 paid. And certainly, I don't want to be pejorative about
14 the hard work that this panel has done, the people, the
15 support that PG&E has given. I think that's the way my
16 colleagues seem to be taking it. It's very difficult for
17 an entity, once created, to contemplate its own demise and
18 the substitution for another one or a better one.

19 Benchmarking, there is a Diablo Canyon
20 Independent Safety Committee. That expires in 2025. That
21 was created by the PUC, a charter by PUC, a selection
22 process by PUC. There is also an independent peer review
23 panel. Bruce Gibson is on it. That's also established by
24 the PUC, and it's required and the membership is
25 established.

1 Now, PG&E has said why they created this panel
2 the way it was, because it met their needs. It met PG&E's
3 needs. That may not be the criterion we want to be used.
4 The key is whether it meets the community's needs, the
5 state's needs, to coordinate all the government agencies
6 that are going to be involved here. It's kind of like a
7 council of governments.

8 Benchmarking, the very first day when we were
9 created, PG&E put on the web page a document saying
10 "benchmarking," and it had the Vermont Yankee panel on it,
11 and it had those other panels and all you had to do was
12 click on it and you could see they were dramatically
13 different in their composition and their structure and
14 their charter. So those are there. So I just think
15 benchmarking is what PG&E needs. And they would prefer,
16 quite frankly, a more malleable panel that will do -- give
17 them what they need. These are PG&E meetings. They start
18 with PG&E. They end with PG&E. They are run by PG&E.
19 And I think we need something stronger, more robust and
20 more independent.

21 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, Alex.

22 Sherri, you had a comment?

23 MS. DANOFF: Yes. What I favor at this point in
24 terms of the future for the community outreach and
25 technical expertise is continuation of what we have,

1 except I think it would be a good idea if the community
2 panel was under PUC's requirement, just like the
3 Independent Safety Committee. And I see no reason why the
4 two couldn't work very well together, as has occurred.

5 I haven't noticed that the other huge committees
6 have been more successful, and so I think if we get
7 information that shows that they do better with a lot of
8 elected officials and attendants and so on and so forth,
9 you know, we might want to reconsider.

10 Thank you.

11 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

12 Lauren and then Kara.

13 MR. BROWN: I have several things I would like
14 to comment on. First of all, I would like to thank Alex
15 for putting this proposal together. I would like the
16 public to know that Alex has a very extensive experience
17 working in this area. He has served as a law judge under
18 the Nuclear Regulatory Agency and has been involved in a
19 number of relicensing activities, so Alex brings a lot of
20 substance to his background. And I think that background
21 makes it important that we give consideration to his
22 proposals.

23 Second thing I would like to say, we would
24 appreciate input from the public. You're sitting here.
25 You have heard Alex's comments tonight. During the public

1 comment period, I would ask any of you who have some input
2 on this, please share it with us or go on-line and send us
3 your comments.

4 Third thing I would like to say, the offer from
5 the Independent Safety Committee to help us is informal.
6 I appreciate it. I suspect that they will follow through
7 with it, but I would like to see a provision added to our
8 vision statement that we're inserting that that informal
9 offer be formalized with a request to the CPUC to ask them
10 to specifically arrange to give us periodic inputs on
11 matters that are relevant in the technical area to the
12 issues that we are considering. One, for example, that we
13 are going to deal with next year is spent fuel issue. I
14 think getting significant input from that independent
15 group would be helpful, so I would like to see that added.

16 The fourth thing, we are not going to reach
17 agreement tonight on including a recommendation from the
18 whole panel that we adopt Alex's suggestion tonight. He
19 is going to include a minority report to the CPUC
20 including that, but we are not going to reach agreement,
21 but I think we should put some effort into this in the
22 coming year. The third meeting, so far as I know, does
23 not have a topic yet, in September? It might be a good
24 time. So in the interim, we could collect input from some
25 of the benchmarking instances that have been cited.

1 I would like to see a subcommittee of this group
2 going to the Council of Governments to ask them what do
3 they think of this idea, would they like to see such a
4 change in the structure of this, get some feedback from
5 them, would they like to serve on it. We could go to some
6 of the other agencies that might reasonably be included,
7 "Would you like to see a change? Would you like to send
8 somebody to be part of it?" So there is a lot of work we
9 can do, and in September, we can wrestle with this and
10 come to some panel-wide recommendations.

11 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, Lauren.

12 Kara.

13 MS. WOODRUFF: Just a quick comment, because we
14 have so much ahead of us on the agenda. There's some
15 really exciting topics, like land and repurposing, that we
16 haven't gotten to yet.

17 I am sort of neutral on what path we take. I
18 still feel like I need to think about it, and I am not
19 vested in any outcome. I am only going to be on the panel
20 another year. But what I have witnessed is a panel today
21 that is independent and not particularly malleable. I
22 have not been called "malleable" many times in my life.
23 So I just want to set the record straight. Regardless of
24 where we go, I see a panel that's very independent, very
25 strong-willed, and not particularly kowtowing to anybody,

1 most certainly the Utility, so I just wanted to make that
2 clear.

3 MR. FRANKE: Kara, if you want a witness, you
4 are good at not being malleable.

5 MR. KARLIN: You should see nonmalleable.

6 MR. ANDERS: Okay. We do need to move on. Our
7 last comment is from Linda.

8 MS. SEELEY: I have heard a recommendation, I
9 think from Nancy, that we ask the PUC to create us as a
10 formal entity under the PUC and that we make a formal
11 arrangement with the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety
12 Committee that they would -- not just a handshake, as you
13 said, Lauren, but that this could be an official deal
14 where they are our technical experts. They also -- Alex
15 mentioned the IPRP, the Independent Peer Review Panel,
16 which is they are experts on seismic issues. And the
17 seismic issue has not gone away at Diablo Canyon, and we
18 need to be very much aware of that. So I think that would
19 be a great idea if we could get some sort of a formal
20 agreement among all of these entities. And then, you
21 know, this idea of going to the Council of Governments and
22 seeing what they think, yeah, we can change. It doesn't
23 mean we have to die, but we can change. Thank you.

24 MR. ANDERS: Thank you all very much.

25 Our second topic for discussion this evening is

1 decommissioning funding. And David Baldwin is going to
2 lead us through that.

3 MR. BALDWIN: All right. Thanks, Chuck.

4 As an introduction, it's important, I think, to
5 recognize that sufficient funding for decommissioning is
6 critical to the success of that process. The California
7 Public Utilities Commission determines through a process
8 the amount of funds that PG&E can collect from ratepayers
9 for decommissioning. As you have heard some of the other
10 panelists say, and has been discussed before, the
11 decommissioning process is unlike and unequal to anything
12 that we have seen in the county as far as its size and its
13 scope. And I think that complexity and that magnitude
14 cannot and should not be overlooked or minimized as we
15 move towards decommissioning.

16 This slide illustrates the Diablo Canyon
17 estimates against those of San Onofre and also the
18 \$2.5 billion that was approved in the 2015 NDCTP. PG&E is
19 responsible for preparing an estimate of the
20 decommissioning costs to the California Public Utilities
21 Commission. This is done every three years. The CPUC
22 maintains authority and, therefore, allows and adjusts the
23 utility rates to assure there is adequate funds available
24 in the decommissioning trust fund.

25 In its 2015 presentation, PG&E estimated the

1 cost of decommissioning was \$4.2 billion, and the CPUC
2 approved 2.5 billion. PG&E is now preparing a more
3 detailed cost estimate for the 2018 NDCTP submittal. This
4 will be submitted in December at PG&E's presentation to
5 the CPUC.

6 The disposition of the lands, facility
7 repurposing and spent fuel management could have a
8 significant impact on the cost of decommissioning. This
9 is and will remain, I expect, an ongoing topic with this
10 panel. There has been a lot of discussion on that. The
11 panel addressed decommissioning funding at our July
12 meeting.

13 Decommissioning funding vision statements. The
14 development of all decommissioning costs and decisions
15 should be transparent to ratepayers and the community.
16 The most cost effective methods for decommissioning should
17 be researched by PG&E in order to save ratepayers money,
18 but equally, or perhaps in many cases more important, the
19 safety of the community and the environment is not
20 compromised.

21 The existing Diablo Canyon trust fund must be
22 protected to assure that it remains sufficient to
23 adequately finance decommissioning.

24 The sale of assets acquired through ratepayer
25 assessments could be used to offset decommissioning costs.

1 The uncontaminated facilities and infrastructure
2 at Diablo Canyon Power Plant should be repurposed where
3 feasible, while also assuring that the safety of the
4 surrounding community and the workers at the plant and the
5 environmental quality of the area is not compromised.

6 Thank you.

7 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, David.

8 This, again, is an opportunity for the panel to
9 have a discussion. We had a great discussion on the last
10 topic.

11 Frank.

12 MR. MEACHUM: I have a question for PG&E, and I
13 hate to even bring this up, but as you mentioned earlier,
14 John, the fires, and now the excessive cost and the
15 liability to PG&E. Is this in any way a possibility that
16 would affect the funding for Diablo Canyon?

17 MR. FRANKE: Well, first, you know, I spoke
18 about the fires not because there was an implication of
19 PG&E.

20 MR. MEACHUM: No, I understand.

21 MR. FRANKE: But with regard to the trust fund,
22 let's remember that trust fund is a trust fund. It's in
23 essence owned -- managed by PG&E, but it is really the
24 property, I would say, of the ratepayers. It's held in
25 trust for the decommissioning. It is not a company asset.

1 So if there is any concerns about the financial liability
2 of the company, it wouldn't touch these funds. These
3 funds are separate in a trust.

4 MR. MEACHUM: But I guess it goes to the
5 question of seeking additional funds, which I understand
6 PG&E is going to do.

7 MR. FRANKE: Yes.

8 MR. MEACHUM: Would this affect it in any way?

9 MR. FRANKE: You know, that's a question for the
10 CPUC. I don't see how that pertains. You need to
11 remember that state law in California requires that the
12 customers pay for the eventually decommissioning of the
13 plant, all reasonable and prudent expenses. So as long as
14 those expenses are demonstrated to be prudent, then they
15 are carried through the CPUC rate mechanism.

16 It is also probably important to mention that
17 these particular funds, PG&E makes no money off these
18 funds. There is no profit margin. There is no slice that
19 goes to the shareholders. This is purely money that we
20 hold, and then we spend. And the prudence of that
21 expenditure is verified through the CPUC process. So to
22 that end, there might be some confusion that there is some
23 benefit of the company to get more money. There isn't.
24 Absolutely none.

25 MR. MEACHUM: I wasn't going there. When there

1 is money someplace and there is a situation in which PG&E
2 may find itself, somebody is going to seek funding
3 somewhere.

4 MR. FRANKE: I understand.

5 MR. MEACHUM: And I just want to make sure that
6 it's not going to happen here.

7 MR. FRANKE: That's probably one difference
8 that's probably worth mentioning as we talk about your
9 concern. The states where Alex was discussing the
10 benchmarks of the panels in those states, they have a very
11 different structure than here. They are nonregulated
12 states. They don't have a CPUC. So in those cases, the
13 funds for these decommissioning funds don't funnel through
14 a state agency. That's probably why the state laws to
15 establish the panels here, and state law carries through
16 the CPUC. And the CPUC will oversee the expenditure of
17 those funds. And through that process, those funds are
18 separate. In nonregulated states, those funds still have
19 to exist under the federal law. The NRC requires those
20 funds to exist, but I think the situation would be
21 different there or could be different.

22 MR. MEACHUM: Thank you very much. Appreciate
23 that.

24 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

25 Any other comments or questions?

1 Okay. The next decommissioning topic is the
2 Diablo Canyon lands, and Dena Bellman is going to discuss
3 the panel recommendations.

4 Dena.

5 MS. BELLMAN: Thank you, Chuck.

6 So welcome everyone. I would also like to
7 welcome you and thank you for being here tonight, and for
8 many that are here tonight, your continued interest and
9 input to this panel.

10 The Diablo Canyon Power Plant is surrounded by
11 12,000 acres of pristine coastal lands, including 14 miles
12 of coastline. On the lands topic, the panel hosted two
13 full-day workshops on August 17th and 18th, plus a public
14 meeting on August 29th to discuss lands. These hosted a
15 variety of presentations by agencies, nonprofits and
16 community organizations. The panel has also received 340
17 public comments regarding the future use of the lands,
18 which has significantly shaped the lands vision that you
19 will hear tonight.

20 The ownership of the surrounding lands is
21 complex and different parcels do have unique requirements.
22 Approximately 2 1/2 square miles are leased by a
23 development company. The lands to the north of the plant
24 are owned by PG&E, while the lands to the south are owned
25 by a subsidiary of PG&E named Eureka Energy. PG&E has

1 been an excellent steward of the lands, as well as the
2 unique Native American and other significant cultural
3 resources in that area. The public comment indicated
4 overwhelming public support for conservation and managed
5 use of the lands surrounding the power plant.

6 The panel has developed these six different
7 vision statements regarding the Diablo Canyon lands. The
8 12,000 acres of Diablo Canyon lands surrounding the Diablo
9 Canyon Power Plant are a precious treasure and a
10 spectacular natural resource that should be preserved in
11 perpetuity for the public and for future generations.

12 The public should be ensured access to the
13 Diablo Canyon lands to the greatest extent possible, while
14 protecting and preserving sensitive habitats, cultural
15 sites and other resources.

16 The preservation of sacred Native American sites
17 should be assured.

18 The request for land ownership and federal
19 recognition by the local Native American community should
20 be recognized as legitimate, while at the same time
21 considering the overwhelming public testimony that the
22 Diablo Canyon lands be conserved and available to the
23 public for managed limited use.

24 The conservation activities on Diablo Canyon
25 lands should be coordinated with owners of other protected

1 properties in the Irish Hills region. These include State
2 Parks, the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, the Land
3 Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County, and the City of
4 San Luis Obispo.

5 The use of Diablo Canyon lands should be
6 consistent with safe, secure and monitored storage of
7 spent nuclear fuel until such time as it is removed from
8 the site.

9 Thank you.

10 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, Dena.

11 Again, the opportunity for additional
12 discussion, questions, comments.

13 Yes, Alex.

14 MR. KARLIN: Yes, Chuck. I was just looking at
15 this for one additional time, and on page 28, I think
16 there is an ambiguity there that I didn't see before. The
17 very first bullet, could we go to that on the page, slide
18 28.

19 Thank you. It starts with "the request for land
20 ownership and federal recognition by the local Native
21 Americans." We have certainly discussed, I thought, the
22 request for land ownership, and that's been discussed by
23 this panel to some extent. Are we saying that we support
24 the Native American federal recognition as a
25 federally-recognized Indian tribe?

1 I didn't think so. I think being a
2 federally-recognized Indian tribe is a very specific
3 federal thing that brings independent sovereignty to the
4 Native -- and that may or may not happen. And I'm not
5 sure we've opined on that or should be recommending, if
6 that's what we are doing. Maybe that should be clarified
7 or maybe that's what the panel intends, but I didn't think
8 we were recommending that.

9 MR. ANDERS: Before this meeting, the panel had
10 dinner and a conversation, and this topic did come up, and
11 this is how the original draft was drafted.

12 So if the panel has any other comments, now is
13 the time.

14 Dena.

15 MS. BELLMAN: Oh, no. I was agreeing with you
16 that we discussed this. Sorry.

17 MR. ANDERS: David, did you have a comment?

18 MR. BALDWIN: Yeah. I didn't catch that before,
19 but I don't recall that we took a position on federal
20 recognition of the tribe. We did discuss the lands and
21 other issues surrounding that, but I don't remember us
22 taking a position on that. That's my recollection anyway.

23 MR. ANDERS: Yes.

24 Kara.

25 MS. WOODRUFF: Yeah, quick comment on this

1 issue. It was mentioned in a few of the comments made by
2 panel members and presented in the draft. We can
3 obviously adjust that, but that reflects comments made
4 during the process.

5 MR. ANDERS: Any other comments? Questions?

6 Yes, Sherri.

7 MS. DANOFF: I think when we put it together,
8 our thinking was, "Well, it seems legitimate to request
9 this, and it's certainly legitimate that the public wants
10 access," so we just put it out there.

11 MR. FRANKE: I think it's probably fair to say
12 that the yak tityu tityu yak tilhini -- did I say that
13 right?

14 MR. LATHRUP: That's close enough.

15 MR. FRANKE: That's as good as I am going to
16 get.

17 I think the panel was moved by the presentation
18 and it is probably worth noting that we found their
19 presentation very --

20 MR. LATHRUP: I would also say that the
21 statement really doesn't recommend or agree as far as
22 federal recognition. I think it is just addressing the
23 fact that it is a legitimate request, and so I don't think
24 it really is meant to be support of federal recognition.

25 MR. FRANKE: It's a little bit outside the

1 purview of what our purpose is.

2 MR. KARLIN: I agree, I think it's outside our
3 purview. The statement says, "The request for federal
4 recognition by the local Native American community should
5 be recognized as legitimate," and I don't think we agreed
6 to that as a vision. We did agree that their request for
7 access and et cetera, et cetera, that's legit, and that we
8 support that.

9 MR. ANDERS: I think in discussion the panel had
10 -- let me just state this and you can comment on this --
11 is that originally that was the text. And during prior
12 discussion -- Alex, I think you're correct -- where there
13 was a legitimate request that the Native American
14 community have property or land. There was also concern
15 with regard to long-term conservation and possibility of
16 unmanaged development, if it became federally recognized.
17 And that was the gist of the conversation. So I think you
18 hit the nail on the head.

19 I think the panel recognizes that the Native
20 American community should have some type of access to the
21 property or property. There was no agreement among the
22 panel with regard to federal recognition because there
23 were concerns about possible implications. Is that a fair
24 statement? And perhaps we need to modify that statement
25 slightly to reflect that.

1 MR. LATHRUP: I would agree with that. I mean,
2 I think that's exactly what the group intended.

3 MR. ANDERS: Very good. Thank you. Thank you
4 for pointing that out.

5 MR. JONES: Chuck, so we are editing that on the
6 fly? Would you like us to take some language down --

7 MR. ANDERS: Pardon?

8 MR. JONES: I'm asking if you're submitting a
9 revision, are we going to work it off-line?

10 MR. ANDERS: Does anyone have a revision?
11 Should we work the language based on what I said?

12 MS. BELLMAN: I don't think we need to do it
13 now. We are working on the next draft anyway, so.

14 MR. ANDERS: Okay. Any further discussion or
15 comments with regard to the lands? We did have
16 overwhelming comment on the lands issue.

17 Yes, Kara.

18 MS. WOODRUFF: Thank you.

19 I really like this section of the vision
20 statement. I love that the community came together and
21 had a near unanimous voice in conservation of these 12,000
22 acres. So I think this vision really reflects what the
23 community told us, and I think that's pretty cool and I am
24 excited about it. And I'm really happy that we're going
25 to present to the public and the Public Utilities

1 Commission.

2 I did have a question that's been lingering
3 about the land status, and I was wondering if you could
4 bring up the map of the Irish Hills that we were showing
5 earlier. This might be a question for PG&E.

6 The property in orange to the right bottom
7 corner, that's Wild Cherry Canyon, that's five parcels in
8 the orange, and those have been the subject and target of
9 conservation efforts for years. Right next to it is the
10 yellowish-green area, and that's the 1200 acres that is
11 conserved by way of a deed restriction. And what I still
12 don't understand is whether that is currently conserved
13 and the deal is done or whether it's some kind of offer to
14 dedicate that still requires a third party to accept the
15 deed restriction or the offer to dedicate. And I have
16 heard two stories, and I don't know which one is accurate
17 so I would love some clarity on that, if possible.

18 MR. JONES: So Tom Jones on behalf of PG&E.
19 That is condition of a Coastal Development Permit related
20 to steam generator replacement project. And that 1200
21 acres was identified and we have a legal description. We
22 have been working with the Coastal Commission to record
23 that deed restriction. There's not a third-party involved
24 that's in compliance with the Coastal Commission. We
25 haven't come to terms yet with the Commission.

1 We did an early legal description. They weren't
2 satisfied with it. We conducted an exhaustive survey in
3 2017 on every one of these parcels that took us about four
4 months. We re-informed the legal description of that area
5 and consulted with the Coastal Commission as recently as
6 the last 30 days about the status of accepting that. So
7 we're on track with them. We've been working with them on
8 a regular basis. They haven't been made happy yet, and
9 it's our job to satisfy them so I hope that the revision
10 and the concurrent survey has done that, but it's strictly
11 with the Coastal Commission, PG&E can record the
12 conservation.

13 MS. WOODRUFF: That's very helpful. Thank you,
14 Tom.

15 What I would say is since it is not a done deal,
16 as Alex just said, maybe we want to include in our
17 recommendations a statement that this process be complete
18 and that 1200 acres be thoroughly conserved according to
19 the permit conditions of the steam generator, or something
20 along those lines, just to nail down those last 1200
21 acres.

22 MR. JONES: Sure. And we'll also take the
23 action to circulate to you the current language in that
24 agreement. So for instance, the area of the lighthouse
25 road, while it's on those parcels, we gave a wider buffer

1 so that the road could be repaired and maintained.

2 There's some nuanced language in that, but we'll get that
3 language to this panel.

4 MS. WOODRUFF: Okay. And the last thing that I
5 would say is something really kind of exciting has come
6 out of this panel process, and that is the leaseholders of
7 this land, HomeFed, have come back to the table and we're
8 beginning negotiations for conservation of the property.
9 So I don't think that would have come about necessarily if
10 we hadn't been formed as such, so that's pretty cool.
11 Thank you.

12 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

13 Linda.

14 MS. SEELEY: I have a question for you, Tom.
15 Those two -- the 1200 acres, I don't understand. They're
16 held by Eureka Energy, right?

17 MR. JONES: Correct.

18 MS. SEELEY: And is there going to be a
19 conservation entity that will take those on and manage
20 them or how is that going to work?

21 MR. JONES: It's currently a requirement of the
22 lease of the utility, and that will restrict via the deed
23 for any future folks. So it will be an obligation for
24 Eureka and for PG&E, but right now that conservation --
25 those aspects of conservation will be required as part of

1 PG&E on their tenancy on the lands.

2 MS. SEELEY: So does that mean PG&E will be the
3 manager? I don't get it.

4 MR. JONES: So short answer is yes. So we have
5 a land stewardship program over all those areas, and we
6 control access to it via the front gate. There is some
7 managed access that is also conditioned through some of
8 the Coastal developments permits that you see on the trail
9 there. But the language is quite prescriptive in what can
10 and can't happen. For instance, it doesn't allow for any
11 structures to be constructed or anything like that. So
12 when I get the panel the language, I think that will help
13 further inform you on it. But its purpose is quite
14 simple, to preserve the lands as they are today,
15 basically.

16 MS. SEELEY: And into perpetuity?

17 MR. JONES: Yes.

18 MS. SEELEY: Thank you.

19 MR. ANDERS: Sherri.

20 MS. DANOFF: Another question related to that.
21 Tom, could we get a copy of that or see that?

22 MR. JONES: Yes. That was my earlier
23 commitment. I will be sure and distribute that to the
24 panel by Friday, the current version.

25 MR. ANDERS: Okay, Panel. Kara suggested that

1 you add an additional vision statement supporting that
2 these lands be -- remain in conservation. And the
3 proposal that's in place, I'm not sure of the correct
4 wording, what it would be.

5 Kara, do you have any wording that you would
6 want to suggest?

7 MS. WOODRUFF: I think we can work on the
8 details later, but what we would want to say is
9 essentially nailing down the process between PG&E and the
10 Coastal Commission so that those 1200 acres are
11 derestricted and conserved into perpetuity.

12 MR. ANDERS: Is anyone opposed to that?

13 All right, we will include that in the
14 recommendations.

15 Any further comments or discussions with regard
16 to lands?

17 Okay. We have our last topic coming up. Before
18 we proceed with that, I want to indicate to the public
19 that we are going to take a break after this topic. And
20 then after that, we are going to have the opportunity for
21 public comment. So I encourage if anyone has something on
22 their mind, to come up and talk to the panel. And to do
23 that, please fill out one of those blue cards so we know
24 who wants to speak, and submit them back to Felipe.

25 Lauren Brown is going to lead us on a discussion

1 on the recommendations for facility repurposing.

2 Lauren.

3 MR. BROWN: Right. Thank you, Chuck.

4 Out at Diablo Canyon, there's a whole lot more
5 than just two nuclear reactors and the equipment that
6 directly supports power generation. There is a lot of
7 infrastructure that exists out there. In the process of
8 decommissioning, PG&E has a couple paths they can follow.
9 One is take out everything and return the whole property
10 eventually as closely as possible to the condition that
11 existed before. The other option is to search for
12 opportunities to repurpose some of that infrastructure.
13 And toward that end, we convened two days of workshops on
14 September 14th and 15th. And we had almost a dozen
15 different specific proposals on how some of that
16 infrastructure might be repurposed. We received 67
17 comments from the public about it. Not as much as the
18 input that we got on lands, but substantial nonetheless.
19 And I would summarize in general, there is very strong
20 support for the concept that is as much as possible, the
21 infrastructure out there be repurposed.

22 So the next slide gives you an idea of the scope
23 of all of that infrastructure. You can see all of the
24 different things that exist there. In the top left-hand
25 corner, the 500 KV Yard that delivers power to the lines

1 that go across the state. There is an area of dry cask
2 storage. One of the things that I might point out is the
3 marina is about 10 acres in size. It would cost the
4 ratepayers at least \$300 million and thousands upon
5 thousands of truckloads to remove that marina. And do we
6 really want to do that? Those are the questions that we
7 have been wrestling with in coming to recommendations.

8 If you look at Building 113, that's 32 -- almost
9 33,000 square feet. The administration building is
10 50 percent bigger than this building that we are in right
11 now. The warehouse is comparable in size to Costco. And
12 there are all kinds of aspects out here. And what we have
13 been seeking from the public are there ideas how some or
14 even most of the nonradiological infrastructure could be
15 repurposed. And the benefit to the community would be
16 that there could be economic growth that would continue to
17 come from this infrastructure, jobs and so on.

18 So I think that -- going to the next slide here.
19 So our panel has developed several vision statements. The
20 first one, the maintenance of non-contaminated facilities
21 on-site for repurposing should be explored by PG&E.

22 Second, the repurposing of facilities should be
23 consistent with the safety and security of the spent fuel
24 storage until such time that it's removed from the site.

25 Third, the repurposing of the facilities should

1 include thorough and complete removal of all the
2 radiological contamination. That is -- has to be assured.
3 It is overseen by the NRC. That's a must, but we're
4 making the statement anyway because it's clear that it's a
5 sensible component.

6 The next, the repurposing of facilities should
7 consider whether a use can be sustained over time, whether
8 public safety can be assured, community traffic concerns
9 and continued environmental quality. There is a lot to
10 consider here. What we would not want to see happen is
11 one of these buildings not have any tenant, not have any
12 use, and it falls into disrepair and becomes an eye sore
13 and a headache for the community. So in that case, if no
14 constructive repurposing could be identified, then it
15 might be better to take it out.

16 The repurposing of facilities should consider
17 the conservation of the breakwaters and associated harbor
18 area and the intake and discharge coves and associated
19 marine terraces, to assure the protection of the
20 ecological resources of the area.

21 And finally, the repurposing of facilities
22 should only include land associated with Parcel P that is
23 developed and necessary for a buffer for the ongoing
24 decommissioning activities.

25 MR. ANDERS: Thank you very much, Lauren.

1 Any further comments, discussions, questions?

2 Yes, Alex.

3 MR. KARLIN: Yeah, if I may. Slide 32, if you
4 could bring that up. I think there was one thought there
5 that maybe we ought to revisit. The repurposing, we all
6 strongly support the repurposing of as much of the
7 activities and structures that can be usefully repurposed,
8 and that includes the breakwater. But I think we should
9 caveat the idea that it would cost \$300 million to remove
10 the breakwater and it would necessarily entail thousands
11 of trucks going down the road through Avila. I don't
12 think they have a basis -- we have a basis to say that.

13 The last time PG&E went to the PUC, they asked
14 for \$4.2 billion, and they were rejected. And one of the
15 elements that was rejected was the request for \$300
16 million to remove the breakwater. And PUC said, "We don't
17 see why the breakwater necessarily is radiologically
18 contaminated. We don't see that there is a legal
19 requirement to remove that and ship it." And what PG&E
20 said, they had to ship all of the breakwater off-site and
21 out of state. And PUC said, "We don't see the basis for
22 that, so we are not going to agree to the \$300 million
23 that it would cost to ship it off site and out of state.
24 Other nuclear facilities take their rubble and they put it
25 back on the site in other areas as fill." So PUC rejected

1 that. We don't know how much it would cost, and so that's
2 an open question.

3 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, Alex.

4 Any other comments or questions?

5 Yes, Linda.

6 MS. SEELEY: I just wanted to say that here in
7 the United States between now and 2025, there are 13
8 nuclear reactors that are scheduled for shutdown. Around
9 the world, I think there are 70 that are scheduled for
10 shutdown within the next few years. So there is sort of a
11 trend towards shutting down nuclear plants right now.

12 So we have this contamination that -- I mean, we
13 have the radioactive waste that's not just a problem here
14 at Diablo Canyon. It's a worldwide problem. And we have
15 this beautiful opportunity here with repurposing the
16 facilities. And when people can read our vision statement
17 and look at all of the wonderful ideas that the public has
18 come up with for repurposing the facility, I think that we
19 have a terrific opportunity here to make this the safest
20 nuclear waste storage in the whole wide world. And we
21 don't know when it's going to be gone. I mean, we're
22 saying we will keep it until -- we have no idea how long
23 it is going to be there, so we better plan for it to be
24 there for a long, long time. Thank you.

25 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

1 Yes, Nancy.

2 MS. O'MALLEY: Just wanted to discuss a little
3 bit more about the marina. We talked a little before the
4 meeting about if the marina, meaning the breakwater and
5 the harbor, is repurposed, how would it be used. And this
6 is an area that we don't all have consensus on. Part of
7 it is we need more information. You know, we don't know
8 what it costs to maintain the marina. Maybe PG&E could
9 provide some of that information. What does it cost to
10 actually maintain the marina? And if it costs a lot of
11 money, then we do need to think about economically-viable
12 methods to maintain that marina once PG&E leaves. And
13 some discussion over whether or not motorized recreational
14 boats should to be allowed in the marina or if it should
15 be used more for research and to sort of preserve the
16 little unique, you know, economic -- or habitat that's
17 there now.

18 So that would be something that we would love to
19 hear more from the public on, what are the public's
20 thoughts on using the marina. We've heard from the Harbor
21 Commission, but we haven't heard from many individuals on
22 how people would want to use that, so that would be an
23 area. And if we could get just more information on the
24 marina, how could it be reutilized, more information on
25 that. I think maybe if they could charge rent from some

1 of the wind power energy bringing their cables through,
2 what would be a plan to sustain that. Okay.

3 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, Nancy.

4 Any other comments? Questions?

5 Okay. It is 7:57, so let's take a break until
6 10 after 8:00, and we will reconvene. At that time, we
7 will hear from the public. And make sure that any of the
8 public that would like to talk submits a blue card to
9 Felipe or Donna in the back. Thank you very much. We
10 will reconvene at 8:10.

11 (Break taken.)

12 MR. ANDERS: Let's go ahead and reconvene the
13 meeting. I think you have worn the public out because we
14 do not have any comment cards, so if we missed somebody or
15 somebody did want to speak, let us know now; otherwise, we
16 will move forward in the agenda.

17 MR. MEACHUM: All right. Good night, everybody.

18 MR. ANDERS: Great. Thank you very much.

19 Okay. Now we have an opportunity for some
20 additional discussion, panel discussion, before we wrap up
21 the meeting.

22 Sherri and then Kara.

23 MS. DANOFF: Just very briefly, this is to the
24 audience. You heard presentations about visions in
25 certain categories. I want to make it clear that's not

1 all we've done. We've developed goals and then numerous
2 recommendations for implementation as well, so we have
3 just interpreted the highlights for you. Thank you.

4 MR. ANDERS: So the public will have the
5 opportunity to comment on the 12-page document, not just
6 some vision statements; is that right?

7 MS. DANOFF: Right.

8 MR. ANDERS: Great. Thank you.

9 Kara.

10 MS. WOODRUFF: A couple things about the
11 schedule. I think we're going to be shooting for a
12 posting of November 19th, again. That's when we hope to
13 make our vision statement draft available for public
14 review, correct?

15 MR. ANDERS: We have just talked with Kami and
16 some others about what we can actually do and what
17 probably makes sense. And I think it was suggested that
18 the panel do their best to get the vision statement, along
19 with the goals and the recommendations, out in seven days.
20 And they felt that was doable, so we would shoot to have
21 the draft in seven days, and then the public would have
22 the opportunity to comment on that draft.

23 MS. WOODRUFF: So seven days, the 21st of
24 November, is that what you are saying?

25 MR. ANDERS: Yes.

1 MS. WOODRUFF: And then we are going to give the
2 public a week or two to respond? What's the date for
3 deadline for their response?

4 MR. ANDERS: It's up to the panel how long you
5 want to provide that window.

6 MR. KARLIN: It was the 30th in the chart. And
7 let's keep in mind that's the week of Thanksgiving, so
8 that's a very hard schedule for the public to comment if
9 we can't get that out until the 21st?

10 MR. FRANKE: I think it's actually the week
11 after Thanksgiving, the 30th is.

12 MR. MEACHUM: That would be a great conversation
13 over Thanksgiving dinner.

14 MR. FRANKE: Thanksgiving is unusual this year.
15 You think of it as the last Thursday. It's the fourth
16 Thursday, and this time is when it happens. It's just the
17 way the schedule works this year.

18 MR. KARLIN: Okay. Sorry. So we have nine days
19 for the public from the 21st?

20 MR. ANDERS: I recognize that as nine days.

21 MS. WOODRUFF: Maybe we can offer it until
22 Monday, the 3rd, so if people have the weekend, they can
23 use that time to provide input. So instead of the 30th,
24 make it December 3rd.

25 MR. ANDERS: Does that make sense?

1 MR. KARLIN: Yes.

2 May I ask, I don't know whether it is
3 appropriate or not, but at our premeeting, I put together
4 and handed out to the other members of the panel my
5 alternate vision, not written up in a formal way but a
6 one-page outline. I do intend to write up, with the
7 panel's permission, a more formal something that would go
8 with the final report. Is the panel okay with this being
9 attached to the thing that goes out for public comment as
10 well, as an alternate, just so they could comment on this
11 and say, "You are all washed up," or whatever. Would that
12 be okay?

13 MR. MEACHUM: I don't have a problem with that.
14 I think the more opportunity the public has to hear
15 alternative points of view are good.

16 MR. BROWN: I agree.

17 MR. ANDERS: So everybody agree? That make
18 sense?

19 MR. KARLIN: I appreciate it. I will submit
20 this in electronic version.

21 MR. ANDERS: Linda and then Kara.

22 MS. SEELEY: I wanted to make sure that the
23 public knows that we will write an addendum. We're going
24 to present this report to the PUC in December, but then we
25 are going to write an addendum to it after the spent fuel

1 meeting, so that the spent fuel is going to be included in
2 our document. And then I imagine we will also write an
3 addendum having to do with the economic impacts in
4 September, so it's an ongoing document.

5 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

6 Kara.

7 MS. WOODRUFF: And the one last thing I wanted
8 to mention is that the panel recently wrote a letter to
9 the California Public Utilities Commission, and I thought
10 we should talk briefly about what that letter said. It
11 concerns Wild Cherry Canyon. As we saw on the map
12 earlier, Wild Cherry Canyon is 2400 acres, as part of the
13 Diablo lands on the southernmost eastern part of the
14 property. The leaseholders of that land are HomeFed, and
15 HomeFed has been in discussion with conservation group the
16 Wildland Conservancy to try to put together a conservation
17 outcome. But to conserve that lands, it can't just be an
18 agreement between HomeFed and the Wildland Conservancy.
19 PG&E has to be at the table. The State has made it clear
20 if they are going to fund any of this project, it has to
21 -- the deal has to involve the acquisition of both the fee
22 title interest that's held by a subsidiary of PG&E and the
23 leaseholders and a conservation group. So all three
24 parties need to be at the table.

25 The trouble is in January of this year, the

1 utilities -- Public Utilities Commission issued an order
2 that prevented PG&E from having discussions about the
3 land. And there's a long story behind that, but to make a
4 long story short, our letter to the Commission was to
5 specifically request that the Commission lift the order
6 and allow PG&E to be at the table to have these
7 conservation discussions. We wrote the letter about a
8 week ago. We haven't heard back. But as we do, we will
9 update the public.

10 MR. ANDERS: Thank you, Kara.

11 Yes, Frank.

12 MR. MEACHUM: I just wanted to let the folks out
13 there know too, there's a couple of other trips that this
14 panel is going to be making this Friday to the Wind Wolves
15 Preserve over in the Bakersfield area. It's an
16 opportunity to see what a conservation effort that they
17 put forward. They did make presentations to us, so we
18 will get a chance to see that firsthand.

19 The other is the end of the month, we'll be
20 going to San Onofre. I think that's going to give us a
21 good opportunity to ask questions of the folks down there
22 relative to how they were -- how well they function as
23 opposed to others or why they do what they do, as opposed
24 to how we're doing what we're doing. And I think it's
25 going to be a good trip, should be a very informative

1 two-day trip. Thanks.

2 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

3 Lauren and then Alex.

4 MR. BROWN: I wondered if we could make a
5 decision tonight on one recommendation I made, that we
6 send the request as part of our report to the CPUC to
7 authorize the Independent Safety Commission to undertake
8 as part of their responsibilities to provide technical
9 support to our group as part of their mission.

10 MR. ANDERS: Okay. Everyone heard the
11 recommendation? Any discussion?

12 Alex.

13 MR. KARLIN: This isn't what I was just going
14 talk about.

15 MR. ANDERS: Discussion on Lauren's suggestion.

16 MR. KARLIN: I have some concerns about that.
17 It is inconsistent with my vision of how we should
18 proceed. I know the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety
19 Committee a bit. I have attended many of their meetings.
20 They have indicated they would glad to be -- try to be of
21 help to us. They meet three times a year. They're
22 scattered around the country. They aren't going to be
23 responsive enough. And oh, by the way, they don't exist
24 after 2025. They have no expertise in decommissioning.
25 That's not what they were appointed for. They were

1 appointed for operations.

2 So it's nice that they made an offer. I don't
3 think that they are going to be able to deliver. And all
4 we would be doing is be perpetuating the Diablo Canyon
5 Independent Safety Committee past 2025 and increasing
6 their budget, whereas what I think is we should replace
7 them, us, and the Independent Peer Review Committee and
8 create one entity that the ratepayers pay for, not three.

9 MR. ANDERS: Any further comment or discussion?

10 MS. SEELEY: Just a question, Alex. Who, if we
11 don't have -- I have searched my brain to think about who
12 we could use as expert -- technical experts, and I can't
13 think of anybody else that I know in California who could
14 serve as technical experts.

15 MR. KARLIN: Well, here is what I think. I
16 think the Diablo Canyon Advisory Panel that I contemplate
17 would be primarily local stakeholders, governmental
18 entities, and other union and other entities, and they
19 might have one or two technical volunteers, but they would
20 have a budget. Think about the Diablo Canyon Independent
21 Safety Committee. They have a budget with which they hire
22 lawyers as experts and they hire technical people as
23 experts to help them. They have three technical people
24 sitting there employed by them, consultants. We could do
25 -- such an advisory panel would hire experts, not

1 necessarily have them be volunteers because they are not
2 going to volunteer their time, so I don't think that would
3 be a problem.

4 Right now all we can do is rely on the expertise
5 of PG&E. And if we ask Diablo Independent Safety
6 Committee, they are going to say, "Oh, yeah, yeah, we
7 would be glad to help you," but the responsiveness is
8 going to be very, very low. I don't think they are going
9 to hop when we say "hop"; whereas, if the advisory panel
10 had their own experts on retainer, as the Diablo Canyon
11 Independent Safety Committee does, then we could say to
12 them, "Okay. We employ you. Help us answer this
13 question."

14 MR. ANDERS: Any further discussion?

15 Yes, Dena.

16 MS. BELLMAN: So to get to Lauren's request,
17 maybe, Lauren, you can put your request in writing, and we
18 can circulate it the same way we are doing with the rest
19 of the report. Because I think you are asking for it to
20 become part of the report, or a recommendation, so maybe
21 if you can put that in writing to the writing committee
22 and then we can comment on it as we are the entire
23 document.

24 MR. BROWN: I would be happy to do that.

25 MS. BELLMAN: Thank you.

1 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

2 Nancy.

3 MS. O'MALLEY: Alex, if I can just clarify
4 something. So in your written proposal, I know you had
5 mentioned that you wanted the Diablo Independent Safety
6 Commission to go away and be replaced by this other panel.
7 So when would you propose that to happen? Because the
8 plant is still operating until 2025.

9 MR. KARLIN: Well, my thought would be that the
10 Diablo Independent Safety Committee would continue to the
11 end of its charter, which is the day when the plant
12 closes. So they operate until 2025. They can do their
13 own thing. That's great. Meanwhile, I would suggest that
14 the Diablo Canyon Advisory Panel that I would
15 contemplate -- the stronger, more robust, the
16 sustainable -- be created not tomorrow, but maybe in 2020
17 at the culmination of the triennial proceeding that
18 they're initiating in December. It will take 18, 20,
19 15 months. And at that point, this panel would end and
20 this independent thing, chartered by PUC, would begin.
21 And maybe some of us would transfer over, you know, and
22 maybe there would be other people added. That's the
23 timing I thought.

24 MR. ANDERS: Frank.

25 MR. MEACHUM: Alex, I am wondering who would be

1 the entity -- would it be the PUC that would establish the
2 criteria by which this panel that you are suggesting would
3 be established?

4 MR. KARLIN: Yeah, I think so. I mean, I
5 contemplate that there will be a ratemaking proceeding
6 which will be initiated, and PG&E will present its
7 position, which is, "Hey, the panel we got is fine. We
8 created them. They are doing a great job. They're
9 independent. They are not malleable. They're a bunch of"
10 -- so they will have a position. Meanwhile, the Alliance
11 For Nuclear and Mothers for Peace and the Office of
12 Ratepayer's Advocacy will all have positions as to what
13 should be done and what should the panel look like and how
14 should it be composed. And there will be a discussion,
15 maybe a settlement. Maybe it will be litigated. And then
16 the PUC will then decide.

17 And I think this will happen anyway. It's going
18 to happen in the PUC ratemaking process. They are going
19 to decide whether to bless us and give PG&E the money to
20 keep us operating until 2072, or whether they are going to
21 say, "No. Let's do this thing right. Why don't we do
22 something a little different?" And so it will be a
23 discussion among the litigants in the ratemaking
24 proceeding, which will end up either in a settlement among
25 the litigants or a decision by the PUC as to how it should

1 be composed.

2 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

3 Any further comments or questions?

4 Any parting thoughts that you want to leave with
5 other members of the panel, PGE or the public?

6 MR. MEACHUM: Say "good night," everybody.

7 MR. FRANKE: I just want to thank the panel.
8 You know, it's going to be a while until we formally meet
9 again. And regardless, if you think -- what you think
10 about the panel, I tell you what I think. I think we have
11 gotten a tremendous voice of the community from this
12 group. I think the vision statement is going to help us.
13 It's certainly, I think, a document that we are going to
14 use as a company as we move forward to make sure that we
15 are considering the thoughts, desires of our neighbors as
16 we move forward with the decommissioning of the plant.
17 I just want to remind everybody our focus as a
18 company right now is to continue safely operating the
19 plant. That's our first mission. And aligned with the
20 vision statement is to effectively and efficiently
21 decommission the plant on behalf of our customers and on
22 behalf of the community. So I just want to thank -- all
23 the hours you spent, Alex, I want to thank you for your
24 willingness to speak out. And quite frankly, I want to
25 thank all the panel members because there is a lot of

1 effort, a lot of time. You weren't paid. I don't pretend
2 that the spaghetti dinners were covering the kind of
3 effort that you were putting forth. So on behalf of the
4 company and myself, thank you so much for your time and
5 your thoughtful, thoughtful work.

6 MR. ANDERS: Alex.

7 MR. KARLIN: I'm sorry. I did have one point
8 before we got off on Lauren's talk that I wanted just to
9 ask the panel. We're going to go down to SONGS and spend
10 almost two full days taking that trip down there. I
11 talked with David Victor, who is the chairman of the SONGS
12 Community Engagement Panel, the one that I think we should
13 model ourselves after to a significant extent, when he was
14 here. He was here. He was not able to attend our
15 meeting, but he attended the Diablo Canyon Independent
16 Safety Committee meeting. And some of us, only a few
17 really, were able to attend Dr. Victor's presentation for
18 that panel.

19 But since we are spending 48 hours going down
20 there, I think it would be well worth everyone's time to
21 watch Dr. Victor's presentation to the Diablo Canyon
22 Independent Safety Committee, where he said elected
23 officials were critical and where he said technical
24 support was critical. Watch that, because when we go down
25 there -- I said, "We are going down there," and he said,

1 "You are?" He didn't even know we were coming to his
2 meeting. And he said, "Well, that's going to be a real
3 busy night. We have a lot of things on the agenda and
4 people coming out of the woodwork."

5 So he, basically, said we were not going to get
6 a whole lot of audience with the panel, with the SONGS
7 group. We are going to get to watch them in action, and
8 that's great, and maybe we can catch them on the side, but
9 don't think we are going to have a deep consultation and
10 get a lot of questions and answers because they have a
11 busy agenda and they are not going to be focusing on us
12 and our problems. And the next morning, we will be gone.

13 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

14 Linda.

15 MS. SEELEY: Maybe we could figure out a way to
16 meet with them not during the meeting. Like I think the
17 following morning, Tom, didn't you say that there was a
18 tour of the facility that's been arranged?

19 MR. JONES: Yes. That's a different audience,
20 though. It's --

21 MS. SEELEY: Yeah, I know that's different.

22 MR. JONES: -- California Edison.

23 MS. SEELEY: But what I was thinking was maybe
24 we could scrap the tour of the facility. I don't know if
25 that would -- if touring the facility would make a big

1 difference to us.

2 MR. KARLIN: Or we could extend the trip and
3 explore the facility --

4 MR. ANDERS: That's something no one can
5 explore.

6 MS. SEELEY: Maybe meet with the panel, you
7 know, instead.

8 MR. JONES: We will make inquiry to see if there
9 is additional time for the panel members to meet. I will
10 take that action tonight and we'll follow up on it
11 tomorrow.

12 MR. KARLIN: And I will call Dr. Victor and talk
13 to him. I mean, this should be a panel-to-panel request,
14 not a PG&E-to-panel request. And they are going to be
15 busy. They're going to be busy.

16 MR. ANDERS: I'll make it a
17 facilitator-to-chairman request.

18 MR. MEACHUM: Don't they have public comment?

19 MR. ANDERS: I assume they do.

20 MR. MEACHUM: Well, you guys are public. You
21 can make your comments.

22 MR. ANDERS: Any other comments or questions?

23 Well, thank you, Panel.

24 Before we adjourn, I would like to cover just a
25 little bit of the public comment that we have heard. We

1 had almost 500 comments now that we have received formally
2 through on-line comments, comments submitted to the panel
3 who have passed that on to the full group.

4 And go to the next one.

5 And just to give a sense of some history here,
6 we started out in May. And June was the first meeting.
7 July, we talked about costs. June, we talked about
8 process. We -- you, basically, announced the meeting in
9 August was going to be on lands, and the comments took off
10 and they have continued throughout. So lands has been,
11 from a public standpoint so far -- because we really
12 haven't highlighted other issues like spent fuel and so
13 on -- has been a very hot topic as far as the public is
14 concerned.

15 In September, we had the meeting on repurposing.
16 And again, we started to receive a substantial number of
17 comments. If you take lands out, you can see the
18 relationship of all the comments that we've received over
19 time. So this gives you somewhat of a perspective of the
20 public interest and, basically, the number of comments
21 received on these issues. So I just wanted to discuss
22 that real quickly, just to give you a heads up on the
23 comments that we received over the last 30 days.

24 The last item on our agenda, or the
25 second-to-the-last, is introduction of the next meeting

1 topic.

2 And Tom, are you going to do that?

3 MR. JONES: Sure.

4 So we are going to discuss spent fuel at the
5 March meeting. And the panel will still be working to set
6 some dates for workshops in front of that. It will be a
7 similar approach that has been successful for the panel
8 for both lands and repurposing discussions. So we'll be
9 working on that in our administrative meetings and the
10 resources that the panel would like to bring to bear.

11 Your trip to San Onofre will be dominated by
12 their used-fuel discussion. They've had an operational
13 issue with their loading campaigns down there at their new
14 dry cask storage facility, so that is the bulk of their
15 agenda that evening. So you will hear substantial
16 conversation around their loading and their instancy.

17 On your tour on Friday morning, right now as we
18 have a tentative itinerary coming from Edison, you will
19 get a safety briefing, you will go through the facilities,
20 and also get to see the dry cask storage facility and how
21 it's -- you will notice some significant differences from
22 ours, including proximity to the public. And it's a
23 substantially different design, although the vendor or the
24 manufacturer is the same company we employ, Holtec
25 International. So that will be how we prep you for fuel.

1 And then, I'm happy to answer any questions you
2 might have.

3 MR. ANDERS: Frank.

4 MR. MEACHUM: Just a quick question regarding
5 their panel. Did they go through the same topics that
6 we're going through?

7 MR. JONES: Not the same. Their origin started
8 differently. They didn't have this long lead time, but
9 they meet quarterly, and they have done fuel probably
10 three or four times at least. We have a list of all their
11 agenda items we can get to you, and it's on their website.
12 Each of their meetings, similar to ours, are recorded and
13 their videos are on their website, but we'll just take the
14 action to send out a link to that information by Friday.

15 MR. MEACHUM: I did watch the one that Alex
16 mentioned, and the guy runs a good meeting.

17 MR. ANDERS: Any other comments or questions
18 before we adjourn?

19 All right. The last thing we want to do is do a
20 meeting evaluation. So that includes the things you liked
21 about this meeting and things we can do to improve the
22 next meeting. So first, things you liked about this
23 meeting, any comments or discussions with regard to --

24 MS. DANOFF: Ending early.

25 MR. ANDERS: Ending early, all right.

1 Anything else? Any change?

2 Oh, Lauren.

3 MR. BROWN: I like that we are finally getting
4 to some concrete output. We put an awful lot of work into
5 this process, months of it. And we are finally zeroing in
6 on what our recommendations are. I like the process that
7 we are going through. I think it's very collegial, but
8 people are definitely sharing their opinions, so it's good
9 process.

10 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

11 Anyone else?

12 Kara.

13 MS. WOODRUFF: I appreciated this public
14 meeting, but I also appreciated our pre-meeting. We went
15 into that with a lot of areas of disagreement amongst the
16 panel. But by the end, we were able to reach some kind of
17 negotiated compromise or consensus for all issues, other
18 than this ongoing question about what the panel looks like
19 in the future. So I think that was a great process.

20 MR. ANDERS: Thank you.

21 And I, as facilitator, I would like to add my
22 comment and recognize the writing committee of Linda,
23 Kara, Sherri and Nancy, supported by Kami Griffin who did
24 an incredible job in a very short time pulling together
25 the recommendations, and not only what we talked about

1 here, the vision statements, but the full 12-page
2 document. And that that document will be supplemented
3 with probably tens or hundreds of pages of support
4 material and reference material when the full report is
5 done. So I really want to send my kudos to the writing
6 committee and Kami. Thank you for your work.

7 Anything you would like --

8 MS. SEELEY: I would also like to thank Kami.
9 She is a brilliant -- what do you call it? -- synthesizer,
10 I guess, because she took our work and she is able to kind
11 of do it right on the fly.

12 I really appreciate the work you have done,
13 Kami. We couldn't have done it without you, and that is a
14 fact.

15 MR. MEACHUM: She's had a heck of a lot of
16 practice.

17 MR. JONES: From your revisions, Frank?

18 MR. ANDERS: Okay. Anything you want to do
19 different next time?

20 Okay. Well, thank you all for your energy and
21 attention, and thank the public for your participation.
22 And the meeting is adjourned.

23 (Hearing concluded at 8:42 p.m.)

24

25

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA.)
) SS.
2 COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO)

3

4 I, CAROLYNN E. SPERE, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND
5 REPORTER, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES
6 COMPRISE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS
7 HAD IN THE WITHIN-ENTITLED MATTER, REPORTED BY ME BY
8 STENOTYPE ON THE DATE AND AT THE HOUR HEREIN WRITTEN, AND
9 THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED UNDER MY DIRECTION INTO
10 TYPEWRITING.

11 IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 8016 OF THE BUSINESS
12 AND PROFESSIONS CODE, I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
13 THAT I AM A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER WITH LICENSE
14 NUMBER 10091 IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.

15 WITNESS MY HAND THIS 24TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2018.

16



17

18

CAROLYNN E. SPERE

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<hr/>	2017 43:3	67 47:16
\$	2018 4:11 13:17 31:3	<hr/>
\$2.5 30:18	2019 4:2,12 6:18	7
\$300 48:4 50:9,15,22	2020 11:22 16:8,9 62:16	<hr/>
\$4 13:18	2024 5:15	70 51:9
\$4.2 31:1 50:14	2025 5:15 14:2 20:7 24:20 51:7 59:24 60:5 62:8,12	7:57 53:5
<hr/>	2072 12:15 63:20	<hr/>
1	2085 14:4	8
<hr/>	21st 54:23 55:9,19	8:00 53:6
1/2 35:22	2400 57:12	8:10 53:10
10 4:22 14:2 48:3 53:6	24th 6:4	8:42 72:23
10-minute 3:18	25 5:18	<hr/>
11 4:20	26th 5:17	A
113 48:8	28 37:15,18	<hr/>
11th 4:24	29th 19:8 35:14	Absolutely 33:24
12,000 7:12 35:11 36:8 41:21	<hr/>	accept 42:14
12-page 54:5 72:1	3	accepting 43:6
1200 42:10,20 43:18,20 44:15 46:10	<hr/>	access 36:12 39:10 40:7,20 45:6, 7
125 18:10	30 3:21 43:6 68:23	account 23:22
12th 6:21	30th 5:16 55:6,11,23	accurate 42:16
13 51:7	32 48:8 50:3	achieve 8:10
13th 6:20	33,000 48:9	acknowledged 10:2
14 35:11	34 7:2	acquired 31:24
14th 47:14	340 35:16	acquisition 57:21
15 13:22 62:19	3rd 55:22,24	acres 7:12 35:11 36:8 41:22 42:10,21 43:18,21 44:15 46:10 48:3 57:12
15th 47:14	<hr/>	act 11:21 16:10 17:25
16 13:22	4	action 43:23 66:7 67:10 70:14
17th 35:13	<hr/>	active 21:24
18 62:18	48 65:19	activities 8:7,10 26:19 36:24 49:24 50:7
18th 35:13	<hr/>	actual 4:24 7:3
19th 9:2 54:12	5	Adam 2:5
<hr/>	50 48:10	add 9:24 46:1 71:21
2	500 47:25 68:1	added 27:7,15 62:22
<hr/>	<hr/>	addendum 56:23,25 57:3
2 35:22	6	adding 23:20
2.5 31:2	<hr/>	addition 8:13,15 14:5,25 23:22
20 62:18	60 14:3	
2015 30:18,25	60-year 12:3	

additional 10:21 13:20 33:5 37:11,15 46:1 53:20 67:9	Alliance 16:15 63:10	arm 11:13
address 10:22 12:23	allowed 52:14	army 18:16
addressed 31:11	alluded 13:3	arrange 27:10
addressing 7:19 39:22	alternate 13:10,15 16:12 56:5,10	arranged 66:18
adequate 14:14 30:23	alternative 56:15	arrangement 29:11
adequately 31:23	ambiguity 37:16	aspects 44:25 48:12
adjourn 67:24 70:18	American 36:2,16,19 37:24 40:4, 13,20	assessments 31:25
adjourned 72:22	Americans 37:21	asset 32:25
adjust 39:3	amount 13:21,24 18:4 30:8	assets 8:6 31:24
adjusts 30:22	analogous 23:6	assignments 2:8
administration 48:9	ANDERS 2:1,18 3:5 7:8,23 9:25 10:8 11:1 13:2 16:25 17:2,18 19:17 20:23 24:8 25:21 26:11 28:11 29:6,24 32:7 34:24 37:10 38:9,17,23 39:5 40:9 41:3,7,10,14 44:12 45:19,25 46:12 49:25 51:3, 25 53:3,12,18 54:4,8,15,25 55:4, 20,25 56:17,21 57:5 58:10 59:2, 10,15 60:9 61:14 62:1,24 64:2 65:6 66:13 67:4,16,19,22 70:3,17, 25 71:10,20 72:18	assume 67:19
administrative 69:9	announced 68:8	assure 30:23 31:22 49:19
adopt 9:9 27:18	answers 7:16 66:10	assured 36:17 49:2,8
advisory 14:11 15:14 16:5 18:22 60:16,25 61:9 62:14	anticipate 24:3	assuring 32:3
Advocacy 63:12	anticipated 22:13	attached 56:9
affect 32:16 33:8	application 4:18 23:10	attempt 10:12
afforded 17:15	applications 4:19	attend 65:14,17
agencies 21:7 22:16 24:6 25:5 28:6 35:15	apply 4:17	attendants 26:8
agency 15:6,15 22:13 26:18 34:14	appointed 59:25 60:1	attended 59:19 65:15
agenda 3:6 4:3 28:14 53:16 66:3, 11 68:24 69:15 70:11	appointment 15:18	attention 72:21
agree 13:12 16:18,24 17:7 39:21 40:2,6 41:1 50:22 56:16,17	appreciated 71:13,14	attest 11:13
agreed 40:5	approach 69:7	audience 53:24 66:6,19
agreeing 38:15	approved 30:18 31:2	augmenting 12:19
agreement 13:4 17:7 27:17,20 29:20 40:21 43:24 57:18	approximately 9:3 35:22	August 35:13,14 68:9
ahead 2:11 28:14 53:12	archeologists 7:13	authority 24:5 30:22
Alex 13:6 16:25 17:5,13,21 24:9 25:21 26:14,16,19 29:14 34:9 37:13 40:12 43:16 50:2 51:3 59:3, 12 60:10 62:3,25 64:23 65:6 70:15	area 2:22,23 26:17 27:11 32:5 36:3 42:10 43:4,24 48:1 49:18,20 52:6,23 58:15	authorize 59:7
Alex's 26:25 27:18	areas 45:5 50:25 71:15	Avila 50:11
aligned 64:19	argue 21:12	avoid 12:2
all-volunteer 18:5		aware 19:22 29:18
		awful 71:4
		<hr/> B <hr/>
		back 10:14 24:3 44:7 46:24 50:25 53:9 58:8
		background 8:16 26:20
		backgrounds 21:12
		Bakersfield 58:15

Baldwin 30:1,3 38:18	briefing 69:19	Care 18:13
base 18:16	briefly 53:23 57:10	cared 7:1
based 3:15 41:11	brilliant 72:9	carried 33:15
basically 8:11 12:2 45:15 66:5 68:8,20	bring 14:20 32:13 42:4 50:4 69:10	carries 34:15
basis 43:8 50:12,21	bringing 53:1	case 49:13
Bay 18:15 23:4	brings 26:19 38:3	cases 31:18 34:12
bear 69:10	Brown 10:1 26:13 46:25 47:3 56:16 59:4 61:24 71:3	cask 48:1 69:14,20
beautiful 6:25 19:4 51:15	Bruce 24:23	catch 38:18 66:8
begin 2:5 11:21 12:1 62:20	budget 60:6,20,21	categories 53:25
beginning 10:23 44:8	buffer 43:25 49:23	caveat 50:9
behalf 2:24 42:18 64:21,22 65:3	building 7:4 48:8,9,10	center 4:25 18:13
Bellman 35:2,5 38:15 41:12 61:16,25	buildings 8:7 49:11	CEQA 11:20
benchmark 22:9	bulk 69:14	cetera 40:7
benchmarking 20:12,16 22:25 24:19 25:8,10,15 27:25	bullet 37:17	chairman 16:19 65:11
benchmarks 15:12 22:8 23:2,23 34:10	bunch 63:9	challenging 7:17,18
benefit 33:23 48:15	Bureau 37:2	chance 58:18
big 66:25	busy 4:15 19:14 66:3,11 67:15	change 22:5 28:4,7 29:22,23 71:1
bigger 48:10		changed 8:8
biggest 19:16	<hr/> C <hr/>	changing 8:9
billion 30:18 31:1,2 50:14	cables 53:1	charge 52:25
billion-plus 13:18	California 5:5 6:7 7:6 11:20 19:13 22:16 23:4 30:6,20 33:11 57:9 60:13 66:22	chart 22:14 55:6
biologists 7:13	Californians 2:25	charter 16:1 19:21 20:6 24:21 25:14 62:11
bit 11:24 22:21,24 39:25 52:3 59:19 67:25	call 67:12 72:9	chartered 15:16 62:20
bless 63:19	called 28:22	charts 11:16
blue 46:23 53:8	campaigns 69:13	chemicals 18:20
board 16:24	Canyon 2:2 4:10 5:14 6:25 7:15 13:19 14:25 17:22 18:14 19:1,23 22:11,12 23:8,11 24:19 29:11,17 30:16 31:21 32:2,16 35:2,10 36:7, 8,9,13,22,24 37:5 42:7 47:4 51:14 57:11,12 59:18 60:4,16,20 61:10 62:14 65:15,21	Cherry 18:14 42:7 57:11,12
boats 52:14	capabilities 12:20	Chico 2:22
bottom 42:6	captured 6:11	chief 23:17
brain 60:11	card 53:8	choose 22:22
break 3:18 4:11 46:19 53:5,11	cards 46:23 53:14	chose 20:19
breakwater 50:8,10,16,17,20 52:4		chosen 21:7
breakwaters 49:17		Chuck 2:17 13:9 30:3 35:5 37:14 41:5 47:3
bridging 20:4		circulate 43:23 61:18
		cited 27:25
		cities 15:4

City 37:3	community's 25:4	conserved 36:22 42:11,12 43:18 46:11
clarified 38:6	company 2:25 21:3,20 32:25 33:2,23 35:23 64:14,18 65:4 69:24	consideration 26:21
clarify 62:3	comparable 48:11	consistent 37:6 48:23
clarity 42:17	compiling 8:16	constructed 45:11
clear 29:2 49:4 53:25 57:19	complete 13:4 43:17 49:1	constructive 49:14
click 25:12	completed 21:25	consultants 60:24
close 11:18 39:14	complex 4:18 11:10 35:21	consultation 66:9
closely 23:6 47:10	complexity 11:16 30:13	consulted 43:5
closes 5:23 62:12	compliance 42:24	contaminated 50:18
coastal 35:11 42:19,22,24 43:5, 11 45:8 46:10	component 49:5	contamination 18:19 49:2 51:12
coastline 7:11 35:12	composed 15:2 63:14 64:1	contemplate 12:18 15:1 24:11, 17 60:16 62:15 63:5
cognizant 22:10	composition 15:16 25:13	continuation 25:25
colleagues 16:13 24:16	comprised 17:10,23 18:22	continue 6:14 10:21 12:11,15 20:8 21:23,25 22:1,6 24:1 48:16 62:10 64:18
collect 27:24 30:8	compromise 71:17	continued 35:8 49:9 68:10
collegial 71:7	compromised 31:20 32:5	control 45:6
comment 8:14,24 9:4,6 25:22 26:14 27:1 28:13 29:7 36:3 38:17, 25 40:10 41:16 46:21 53:14 54:5, 22 55:8 56:9,10 60:9 61:22 67:18, 25 71:22	concept 47:20	convened 47:13
comments 3:19 8:18 9:5,15,19, 23 10:14 17:18 26:25 27:3 34:25 35:17 37:12 38:12 39:1,3,5 41:15 46:15 47:17 50:1 51:4 53:4 64:3 67:21,22 68:1,2,9,17,18,20,23 70:17,23	concern 2:25 34:9 40:14	conversation 38:10 40:17 55:12 69:16
commission 5:6 6:8 12:11 19:13,23,25 20:5 23:8 24:7 30:7, 21 42:1,22,24,25 43:5,11 46:10 52:21 57:9 58:1,4,5 59:7 62:6	concerned 68:14	cool 41:23 44:10
commitment 45:23	concerns 33:1 40:23 49:8 57:11 59:16	coordinate 25:5
committed 12:14 21:21	concluded 72:23	coordinated 36:25
committee 11:11 13:11 14:18 17:22 19:2 22:12,24 24:20 26:3 27:5 29:12 59:19 60:5,7,21 61:6, 11,21 62:10 65:16,22 71:22 72:6	concrete 71:4	copy 45:21
committees 26:5	concurrent 43:10	corner 42:7 47:25
community 5:7,22 7:6 12:8,23, 24 13:7 14:7,24 19:7,20 21:10,19 22:1,20 23:15 25:24 26:1 31:15, 19 32:4 35:16 36:19 40:4,14,20 41:20,23 48:15 49:8,13 64:11,22 65:12	condition 42:19 47:10	correct 40:12 44:17 46:3 54:14
	conditioned 45:7	cost 11:18 12:6 13:19,21 31:1,3, 8,16 32:14 48:3 50:9,23 51:1 52:9
	conditions 22:11 43:19	Costco 48:11
	conduct 3:25 15:20	costs 30:20 31:14,25 52:8,10 68:7
	conducted 8:20 43:2	council 25:7 28:2 29:21
	conflicts 15:23	country 19:24 59:22
	confusion 33:22	county 4:13 5:11 15:4 19:3 30:12 37:3
	consensus 52:6 71:17	couple 7:24 11:25 21:1 24:10 47:8 54:10 58:13
	conservancy 19:4,5 37:3 57:16, 18	cover 2:12 67:24
	conservation 36:4,24 40:15 41:21 42:9 43:12 44:8,19,24,25 46:2 49:17 57:15,16,23 58:7,16	
	conserve 57:17	

covering 65:2

coves 49:18

CPUC 12:17 16:2,4 23:13 24:3,7
27:9,19 30:21 31:1,5 33:10,15,21
34:12,16 59:6

crazy 3:1

create 10:21 16:10 29:9 60:8

created 15:14,15 24:6,17,21
25:1,9 62:16 63:8

criteria 63:2

criterion 25:3

critical 30:6 65:23,24

CSU 18:15

culmination 62:17

cultural 36:2,14

current 8:24 43:23 45:24

customers 33:12 64:21

D

DANOFF 25:23 39:7 45:20 53:23
54:7 70:24

data 23:9

date 20:21 55:2

dates 69:6

David 30:1 32:7 38:17 65:11

day 25:8 62:11

days 3:21 5:23,24 43:6 47:13
54:19,21,23 55:18,20 65:10 68:23

DCIS 22:12

deadline 55:3

deal 10:2 15:22 16:6 18:20 27:13
29:13 42:13 43:15 57:21

decades 14:1,17 15:10

December 6:9,17 8:23 9:9 13:17
16:7 19:14 21:22 31:4 55:24
56:24 62:18

decide 63:16,19

decided 15:16

decision 59:5 63:25

decision-making 15:21

decisions 15:20 23:16 31:14

decommission 64:21

decommissioned 23:4

decommissioning 2:2 3:12,13,
24 4:6,8,10 5:8,18 6:15,22 8:6
10:20 11:3,4,6,14,18,22 12:1,4,5,
9,12,13,21 13:19,21,24 14:11
15:13 16:6 20:7 21:24 22:2,4
30:1,5,9,11,15,20,24 31:1,8,11,
13,14,16,23,25 32:25 33:12 34:13
35:1 47:8 49:24 59:24 64:16

decontamination 12:4

dedicate 42:14,15

deed 42:11,15,23 44:22

deep 66:9

deeply 5:11

delay 12:3

deliver 60:3

delivers 47:25

demise 24:17

demonstrated 33:14

Dena 35:2,4 37:10 38:14 61:15

derestricted 46:11

description 8:5 42:21 43:1,4

design 69:23

designated 15:3,7

designed 8:11

designees 15:5

desired 8:4,10

desires 64:15

detail 8:3

detailed 8:5 31:3

details 7:25 46:8

determined 12:25

determines 30:7

develop 22:19

developed 36:6 48:19 49:23
54:1

development 31:14 35:23 40:16
42:19

developments 45:8

devoted 5:12

Diablo 2:2 4:10 5:14 6:25 7:15
13:19 14:25 17:22 19:1,6,22
22:11,12 23:8,11 24:19 29:11,17
30:16 31:21 32:2,16 35:2,10 36:7,
8,13,22,24 37:5 47:4 51:14 57:13
59:18 60:4,16,20 61:5,10 62:5,10,
14 65:15,21

die 29:23

difference 34:7 67:1

differences 69:21

differently 70:8

difficult 24:16

difficulty 11:17

dinner 38:10 55:13

dinners 65:2

directive 23:17

directly 47:6

disagreement 17:6 71:15

disasters 2:22

discharge 49:18

discuss 2:5 4:7 9:18 11:14 13:23
35:2,14 38:20 52:2 68:21 69:4

discussed 11:2,6 30:10 37:21,
22 38:16

discussing 3:7 34:9

discussion 2:6 3:9,23,25 4:5
11:7 13:5 17:3 29:25 31:10 32:9
37:12 40:9,12 41:14 46:25 52:13
53:20 57:15 59:11,15 60:9 61:14
63:14,23 69:12

discussions 46:15 50:1 58:2,7
69:8 70:23

disposition 21:23 23:13,16 31:6

disrepair 49:12

distribute 8:14 45:23

diverse 5:12

diversity 21:10,11,13

doable 54:20	elaborate 7:3	estimate 30:19 31:3
document 6:13 8:9,13 25:9 54:5 57:2,4 61:23 64:13 72:2	elected 12:22 15:5,6 18:22 19:9 22:15 26:8 65:22	estimated 30:25
documents 8:16	electronic 56:20	estimates 30:17
dominated 69:11	elements 16:14 50:15	Eureka 35:25 44:16,24
Donna 53:9	emergency 2:10 6:5	evaluation 4:3 70:20
doors 2:14	employ 61:12 69:24	evening 2:7,10 4:4,12,22 11:9 29:25 69:15
dozen 47:14	employed 60:24	eventually 33:12 47:10
draft 6:6 9:7,14 10:10 38:11 39:2 41:13 54:13,21,22	encourage 10:13 46:21	everyone's 65:20
drafted 38:11	end 2:16 16:4 24:2 25:18 33:22 47:13 58:19 62:11,19 63:24 71:16	evolve 6:14 22:6
dramatically 25:12	ended 4:20	excellent 36:1
dry 48:1 69:14,20	Ending 70:24,25	excessive 32:14
duck 2:12	energy 35:25 44:16 53:1 72:20	excited 41:24
	engage 22:16	exciting 28:15 44:5
	engagement 2:2 4:10 12:11 13:7 15:1 19:8,20 65:12	execution 12:20
<hr/> E <hr/>	engineers 7:14 17:23,24	exhaustive 43:2
e-mail 9:5	enhance 7:5	exist 15:23 16:9 34:19,20 47:24 59:23
earlier 10:16 13:3 32:13 42:5 45:22 57:12	enjoy 7:11	existed 47:11
early 9:9 43:1 70:24,25	ensured 12:8 36:12	existing 31:21
earthquake 2:11	entail 50:10	exists 47:7
east 2:22	entire 61:22	exit 2:14
eastern 57:13	entities 29:20 60:18	exits 2:13
echo 10:9	entity 12:19 16:17 24:11,17 29:10 44:19 60:8 63:1	expand 6:14
ecological 49:20	environment 31:19	expanded 23:18
economic 6:21 10:20 48:16 52:16 57:3	environmental 7:5 11:21 12:23 14:7 32:5 49:9	expect 23:14 31:9
economical 14:7	equally 31:18	expenditure 33:21 34:16
economically-viable 52:11	equipment 47:5	expenses 33:13,14
Edison 66:22 69:18	essence 6:11 24:4 32:23	experience 2:10,11 23:3,23 26:16
editing 41:5	essentially 4:3 46:9	expert 19:10 60:12
education 4:25	establish 14:10 16:5 17:10 34:15 63:1	expertise 14:23 20:1,2 21:8 25:25 59:24 61:4
effective 12:6 31:16	established 16:2 18:6 21:6 24:23,25 63:3	experts 5:10 12:22 17:11,25 18:1 20:2,20 29:14,16 60:12,14,22,23, 25 61:10
effectively 64:20	establishes 15:18,19,22,24	expires 24:20
efficient 12:7	establishment 12:18 16:17	explore 67:3,5
efficiently 64:20		explored 48:21
effort 15:9 27:21 58:16 65:1,3		
efforts 42:9		

express 11:25
expressed 6:2
expression 6:15
extend 67:2
extensive 26:16
extent 36:13 37:23 65:13
eye 49:12

F

facilitator 71:21
facilitator-to-chairman 67:17
facilities 7:15 8:7 11:5 32:1
48:20,22,25 49:6,16,21 50:24
51:16 69:19
facility 3:14 5:1,2,22,25 21:24
22:4 23:5 31:6 47:1 51:18 66:18,
24,25 67:3 69:14,20
fact 21:5,17 24:1 39:23 72:14
fair 39:11 40:23
faith 14:13
falls 49:12
fashion 10:6
favor 25:23
feasible 32:3
February 4:16 6:19
federal 34:19 36:18 37:20,24
38:3,19 39:22,24 40:3,22
federally 40:16
federally-recognized 37:25
38:2
fee 57:21
feedback 9:7 28:4
feel 28:18
feet 48:9
Felipe 46:24 53:9
fellow 2:25
felt 54:20
figure 18:9 66:15
file 13:17 21:22

filing 21:22 24:1,3
fill 46:23 50:25
final 6:8 8:22 9:9,16 56:8
finally 22:4 49:21 71:3,5
finance 31:23
financial 33:1
find 7:16 34:2
fine 63:7
fire 3:1
fires 2:23 32:14,18
firsthand 58:18
fit 9:15
fly 41:6 72:11
focus 2:3 64:17
focusing 66:11
folks 44:23 58:12,21
follow 7:24 27:6 47:8 67:10
foremost 12:7
forest 7:12
form 9:6 10:11 24:4
formal 15:21,25 29:10,19 56:5,7
formalized 27:9
formally 64:8 68:1
formation 22:24
formed 5:5 44:10
forward 17:17 21:4,14,21 53:16
58:17 64:14,16
found 39:18
fourth 27:16 55:15
Frank 11:6 17:4 18:18 22:14
32:11 58:11 62:24 70:3 72:17
FRANKE 2:19 20:24 22:9 23:25
29:3 32:17,21 33:7,9 34:4,7
39:11,15,25 55:10,14 64:7
frankly 25:16 64:24
Friday 19:2 45:24 58:14 69:17
70:14
front 2:13 45:6 69:6

fuel 6:19,20 10:19 14:5 21:23
22:4 23:20 24:2 27:13 31:7 37:7
48:23 56:25 57:1 68:12 69:4,25
70:9
full 11:20 65:10 68:3 72:1,4
full-day 35:13
fully 14:23
function 16:21,23 58:22
fund 30:24 31:21 32:21,22 57:20
funding 3:13 11:4 30:1,5 31:11,
13 32:16 34:2
funds 30:8,23 33:2,3,5,17,18
34:13,17,18,20
funnel 34:13
future 7:11,13 10:23 12:24 25:24
35:17 36:11 44:23 71:19

G

gap 20:4
gate 45:6
gave 13:13 16:20 43:25
general 47:19
generation 47:6
generations 7:11 36:11
generator 42:20 43:19
generous 21:2
Gibson 24:23
gist 40:17
give 13:14 19:5 25:16 26:21
27:10 55:1 58:20 63:19 68:5,22
glad 59:20 61:7
goal 8:10,25
goals 8:4 54:1,19
golden 18:24
good 2:7 10:1 13:5 14:13 18:1,6
26:1 27:23 29:4 39:15 41:3 53:17
56:15 58:21,25 64:6 70:16 71:8
goodness 19:14
government 15:2,15 20:19 21:6
22:13 25:5

governmental 16:22 60:17
governments 25:7 28:2 29:21
great 10:2 29:19 32:9 53:18 54:8
55:12 62:13 63:8 66:8 71:19
greater 15:2
greatest 36:13
Griffin 71:23
group 8:6 27:15 28:1 41:2 57:15,
23 59:9 64:12 66:7 68:3
growth 48:16
guess 33:4 72:10
guy 70:16
guys 67:20

H

habitat 52:16
habitats 36:14
handed 56:4
hands 2:8
handshake 29:12
happen 6:3 14:4 16:17 34:6 38:4
45:10 49:10 62:7 63:17,18
happened 4:24
happiness 7:5
happy 4:12 41:24 43:8 61:24
70:1
harbor 49:17 52:5,20
hard 14:12 21:12 24:14 55:8
hate 32:13
haul 14:16 16:6 17:12
head 40:18
headache 49:13
heads 68:22
healing 7:5
health 12:7
healthy 13:5
hear 21:9,19 35:19 52:19 53:7
56:14 69:15

heard 10:11 26:25 29:8 30:9
42:16 52:20,21 53:24 58:8 59:10
67:25
hearing 72:23
heck 72:15
held 32:24 44:16 57:22
helped 22:19
helpful 10:5 27:15 43:13
Hey 63:7
highlighted 68:12
highlights 54:3
Higuera 2:16
Hills 37:1 42:4
hire 60:21,22,25
historians 7:15
history 68:5
hit 40:18
hold 33:20
Holtec 69:24
Homefed 44:7 57:14,15,18
hop 61:9
hope 6:10 7:20 10:24 14:4 43:9
54:12
horsepower 14:17
hosted 35:12,14
hosting 4:13
hot 68:13
hours 5:13 18:10 64:23 65:19
hug 5:1 14:6 19:4 26:5
hugely 10:5
Humboldt 23:4
hundred-and-some 4:19
hundreds 72:3

I

idea 10:1 26:1 28:3 29:19,21
47:22 50:9 51:22
ideally 9:8

ideas 6:16 19:5 48:13 51:17
identified 8:2 42:21 49:14
illustrates 11:16 30:16
imagine 57:2
imagining 5:13
immediately 11:23 12:1
impact 31:8
impacts 6:22 10:20 14:6 57:3
implement 11:22
implementation 54:2
implication 32:18
implications 40:23
important 10:19 13:13 17:11
26:21 30:4 31:18 33:16
imposed 16:1
improve 7:16 70:21
include 12:21 27:19 37:1 43:16
46:13 49:1,22
included 13:24 28:6 57:1
includes 50:8 70:20
including 23:4 27:17,20 35:11
69:22
inconsistent 59:17
increasing 60:5
incredible 71:24
independence 15:2
independent 4:1 17:22 19:1,23,
25 20:5 22:4,12 23:8 24:20,22
25:20 26:3 27:5,14 28:21,24
29:11,15 38:3 59:7,18 60:5,7,20
61:5,11 62:5,10,20 63:9 65:15,22
Indian 37:25 38:2
indigenous 7:14
individual 9:21
individuals 52:21
inform 45:13
informal 27:5,8
information 3:20 8:15,17 9:7
20:14 26:7 52:7,9,23,24 70:14

informative 58:25	issued 58:1	
infrastructure 7:2 32:1 47:7,12, 16,21,23 48:14,17	issues 3:8 4:1 10:19,23 12:24 20:10 27:12 29:16 38:21 68:12,21 71:17	<hr/> L <hr/>
initiated 63:6	item 68:24	labor 12:22
initiating 62:18	items 70:11	land 3:13 7:12 19:5 28:15 36:18 37:2,19,22 40:14 42:3 44:7 45:5 49:22 57:14 58:3
innovators 7:14	itinerary 69:18	lands 5:3,4,22,24 6:25 7:4 8:6 11:4 23:13,17,18 31:6 35:2,11,12, 14,17,18,20,23,24 36:1,5,7,8,13, 22,25 37:5 38:20 41:15,16 45:1, 14 46:2,16 47:18 57:13,17 68:9, 10,17 69:8
input 3:21 5:7 6:7,11 10:3,4,15, 22 26:24 27:1,14,24 35:9 47:18 55:23	<hr/> J <hr/>	lanes 22:15
inputs 27:10	January 57:25	language 41:6,11 43:23 44:2,3 45:9,12
inquiry 67:8	job 43:9 63:8 71:24	latest 5:15
inserting 27:8	jobs 48:17	LATHRUP 39:14,20 41:1
inside 2:21	John 2:18 3:5 19:18 32:14	Lauren 9:25 10:9 24:9 26:12 28:11 29:13 46:25 47:2 49:25 59:3 61:17 71:2
insight 20:17	joint 23:10	Lauren's 59:15 61:16 65:8
instance 43:24 45:10	Jones 12:14 22:19 23:1 41:5,8 42:18 43:22 44:17,21 45:4,17,22 66:19,22 67:8 69:3 70:7 72:17	law 21:7 26:17 33:11 34:15,19
instances 27:25	judge 26:17	laws 34:14
instancy 69:16	July 5:18 31:11 68:7	lawyers 60:22
intake 49:18	June 5:17 6:21 11:15 14:1 68:6,7	layers 20:9
intend 56:6	<hr/> K <hr/>	lead 11:7 30:2 46:25 70:8
intended 41:2	Kami 54:15 71:23 72:6,8,13	lease 44:22
intends 38:7	Kara 9:25 10:8 26:12 28:12 29:3 38:24 41:17 45:25 46:5 53:22 54:9 56:21 57:6 58:10 71:12,23	leased 35:22
interact 22:1	Karlin 13:6,9 17:1 24:10 29:5 37:14 40:2 50:3 55:6,18 56:1,19 59:13,16 60:15 62:9 63:4 65:7 67:2,12	leaseholders 44:6 57:14,23
interactions 22:14	Kern 19:3	leave 64:4
interest 6:2 15:23 35:8 57:22 68:20	key 3:12 25:4	leaves 52:12
interested 4:17 5:11	kind 3:1 18:21 19:16 25:6 42:13 44:5 65:2 71:16 72:10	leaving 17:9
interim 27:24	kinds 18:20 48:12	left 2:14,15
International 69:25	knew 21:11	left-hand 47:24
interpreted 54:3	knowledge 14:19	legal 42:21 43:1,4 50:18
intervening 13:23	kowtowing 28:25	legit 40:7
introduction 4:2 30:4 68:25	kudos 72:5	legitimate 36:20 39:8,9,23 40:5, 13
investigation 3:9 14:22	KV 47:25	letter 16:16 57:8,10 58:4,7
involve 57:21		letting 4:14
involved 25:6 26:18 42:23		
IPRP 29:15		
Irish 37:1 42:4		
issue 27:13 29:17 39:1 41:16 69:13		

levels 8:2	magnitude 30:13	meeting 2:3,20 3:4,22 4:2,3,10, 24 5:17,18 6:5,20,21 7:21 11:15 12:13 13:25 19:13 27:22 31:12 35:14 38:9 52:4 53:13,21 57:1 65:15,16 66:2,16 68:6,8,15,25 69:5 70:16,20,21,22,23 71:14 72:22
liability 32:15 33:1	maintain 52:8,10,12	meetings 3:16 6:1,12,22,24 11:11,12 15:20,24 25:17 59:19 69:9 70:12
life 6:14 7:16 28:22	maintained 44:1	meets 25:4
lift 58:5	maintains 30:22	member 21:17
lighthouse 43:24	maintenance 48:20	members 2:1 3:11 4:21 6:16 15:19,24 39:2 56:4 64:5,25 67:9
limited 14:18,19 36:23	make 3:19 9:16,18,19 15:20 20:2 29:1,10 34:5 51:19 53:7,25 54:13 55:24,25 56:17,22 58:3,17 59:4 64:14 66:25 67:8,16,21	membership 24:24
Linda 4:7 7:23 10:15 17:20 19:17 21:2 29:7 44:13 51:5 56:21 66:14 71:22	makes 13:5 26:21 33:17 54:17	mention 19:19 33:16 57:8
lines 43:20 47:25	making 5:11 23:12,16 49:4 58:14	mentioned 11:10,14 17:5 29:15 32:13 39:1 62:5 70:16
lingering 42:2	malleable 25:16 28:21,22 29:4 63:9	mentioning 34:8
link 70:14	Mammal 18:13	met 4:25 19:1 23:19 25:2
list 8:9 70:10	manage 44:19	methods 31:16 52:12
listened 13:12	managed 32:23 36:4,23 45:7	miles 35:11,22
litigants 63:23,25	management 31:7 37:2	million 48:4 50:9,16,22
litigated 63:15	manager 45:3	mind 46:22 55:7
lively 11:12	mandate 20:5	mine 7:7
living 6:13 8:9	manufacturer 69:24	minimized 30:14
loading 69:13,16	map 42:4 57:11	minimum 12:12
lobby 2:15	maps 8:18	minority 17:13 27:19
local 12:8 36:19 37:20 40:4 60:17	March 4:11 6:20 7:20,21 69:5	minutes 13:14
long 10:24 14:16 16:6 17:12 21:24 33:13 51:22,24 55:4 58:3,4 70:8	margin 33:18	missed 53:14
long-term 40:15	marina 48:3,5 52:3,4,8,10,12,14, 20,24	missing 4:21
longer 14:5	marine 18:13 49:19	mission 59:9 64:19
looked 8:19 22:17 23:1,2,5,13	Massachusetts 15:13	model 65:13
lot 8:16 10:18 18:8,19 20:17 26:7, 19 28:8 31:10 47:4,6 49:9 52:10 64:25 65:1 66:3,6,10 71:4,15 72:15	material 72:4	modify 40:24
lots 13:22	materials 8:16,19,21	Monday 9:2 55:22
love 41:20 42:17 52:18	matters 27:11	money 13:19 31:17 33:17,19,23 34:1 52:11 63:19
low 61:8	Meachum 11:7,8 17:5 18:17 22:7 32:12,20 33:4,8,25 34:5,22 53:17 55:12 56:13 58:12 62:25 64:6 67:18,20 70:4,15 72:15	monitored 37:6
Luis 4:14 5:11 37:3,4	meaning 52:4	Monterey 2:16 18:15
<hr/> M <hr/>	meant 39:24	month 5:19,20 6:4 14:9 16:20 58:19
made 8:21 17:8 19:25 39:1,3 43:8 57:19 59:5 60:2	mechanism 33:15	
	meet 59:21 64:8 66:16 67:6,9 70:9	

months 10:12 13:22 43:4 62:19
71:5
morning 66:12,17 69:17
Mothers 63:11
motorized 52:13
move 21:4 29:6 30:15 53:16
64:14,16
moved 39:17
moving 21:14

N

nail 40:18 43:20
nailing 46:9
named 35:25
Nancy 19:18 29:9 52:1 53:3 62:2
71:23
Nancy's 22:7
Native 36:2,16,19 37:20,24 38:4
40:4,13,19
natural 23:21 36:10
navy 18:16,17,18
NDCTP 30:18 31:3
necessarily 44:9 50:10,17 61:1
needed 21:8
negotiated 71:17
negotiations 44:8
neighbors 64:15
neutral 28:17
nice 60:2
night 53:17 64:6 66:3
nomination 15:18
non-contaminated 48:20
nonetheless 47:18
nonmalleable 29:5
nonprofits 35:15
nonradiological 48:14
nonregulated 34:11,18
north 35:23

notice 69:21
noticed 26:5
noting 23:25 39:18
November 19:8 54:12,24
NRC 34:19 49:3
nuanced 44:2
nuclear 5:14 7:19 11:18 16:15
17:23,25 19:24 26:18 37:7 47:5
50:24 51:8,11,20 63:11
number 3:16 10:3 11:11 17:7
26:19 68:16,20
numerous 54:1

O

O'MALLEY 19:19 52:2 62:3
Obispo 4:14 5:11 37:3,4
obligation 44:23
observations 9:19
occurred 26:4
October 6:4
off-line 41:9
off-site 50:20
offer 17:22 27:4,9 42:13,15 55:21
60:2
Office 63:11
official 29:13
officials 12:22 15:3 16:22 18:22
19:9 20:19 22:15 26:8 65:23
offset 31:25
on-line 7:2 9:6 27:2 68:2
on-site 48:21
one-page 56:6
ongoing 17:8 31:9 49:23 57:4
71:18
Onofre 19:7 30:17 58:20 69:11
Ontario 5:1
open 15:24 51:2
operate 19:9 23:15 62:12
operating 22:3 23:3,23 62:8

63:20 64:18
operational 69:12
operations 60:1
opined 38:5
opinion 21:11
opinions 71:8
opportunities 47:12
opportunity 3:19,22,24 8:24 9:1,
12,17,18 13:13 17:2,15,16 19:15
21:25 22:15 32:8 37:11 46:20
51:15,19 53:19 54:5,22 56:14
58:16,21
opposed 12:5 46:12 58:23
option 47:11
orange 42:6,8
order 11:22 23:14 24:4 31:17
58:1,5
ordinance 18:20
organizations 35:16
origin 70:7
original 38:11
originally 40:11
outcome 8:4,5 28:19 57:17
outline 56:6
output 71:4
outreach 23:15 25:24
overlooked 30:14
oversee 34:16
overseen 49:3
oversight 19:21 20:10
overview 3:6 8:4 9:21
overwhelming 36:4,21 41:16
owned 32:23 35:24
owners 36:25
ownership 35:20 36:18 37:20,22

P

p.m. 72:23

Pacific 18:12	pass 2:24 3:2	place 3:16 46:3
pages 72:3	passed 68:3	plan 10:20 51:23 53:2
paid 19:12 24:13 65:1	past 6:10 7:1 21:24 60:5	planning 5:8 6:5 12:24 22:3
panel 2:1,3 3:7,8,11,22,25 4:11, 15,17,20,21,25 5:5,16 6:5,15,16 8:1,8,14,15 9:6,7,13,15,18,23 11:11 12:11,14,16,19,20 13:5,11 14:11,12 15:1,15,16,17,19,23 16:5,8,16,19 17:3,9,10 18:1,4,5,8, 22 19:8,10,11,20 20:13,18,25 21:6,12,15,18,20,22 22:5,23 23:19 24:1,5,6,14,23 25:1,10,16 26:2 27:18 28:19,20,24 29:15 31:10,11 32:8 35:3,9,12,16 36:6 37:23 38:7,9,12 39:2,17 40:9,19, 22 44:3,6 45:12,24,25 46:22 48:19 53:20 54:18 55:4 56:4,8 57:8 58:14 60:16,25 61:9 62:6,14, 19 63:2,7,13 64:5,7,10,25 65:9, 12,18 66:6 67:6,9,23 68:2 69:5,7, 10 70:5 71:16,18	path 28:17	plant 5:23 7:2,3 19:24 22:2,3 23:11 32:2,4 33:13 35:10,23 36:5, 9 62:8,11 64:16,19,21
panel's 21:17 56:7	paths 47:8	plants 51:11
panel-to-panel 67:13	pay 13:20 33:12 60:8	poets 7:14
panel-wide 28:10	Peace 63:11	point 2:13 25:23 48:2 62:19 65:7
panelists 30:10	peer 24:22 29:15 60:7	pointing 41:4
panels 15:14 20:16 23:3,24 25:11 34:10,15	pejorative 24:13	points 17:8 23:9 56:15
Paradise 2:23	people 4:16 6:1 7:14,20 10:4,13 13:22 14:18 15:7 18:11 24:14 51:16 52:22 55:22 60:22,23 62:22 66:4 71:8	position 38:19,22 63:7,10
Parcel 49:22	percent 48:10	positions 63:12
parcels 35:21 42:7 43:3,25	period 24:2 27:1	possibility 32:15 40:15
Pardon 41:7	periodic 27:10	possibly 16:21,23
Parks 37:2	permission 56:7	posting 54:12
part 8:22 14:8 16:6 23:14 28:8 44:25 52:6 57:12,13 59:6,8,9 61:20	permit 42:19 43:19	potential 12:18
participants 22:23	permits 45:8	power 15:8 19:24 23:11 32:2 35:10 36:5,9 47:6,25 53:1
participates 10:25	perpetuating 60:4	practice 72:16
participating 15:7	perpetuity 36:11 45:16 46:11	pre-meeting 71:14
participation 15:25 72:21	personal 2:24	pre-planning 12:12
parties 57:24	personnel 15:6	precious 36:9
parting 64:4	perspective 21:16 68:19	prefer 25:15
party 42:14	perspectives 9:20 13:4 14:20	preliminary 2:4 3:13,14 4:5,7 9:8,14
PASION 2:7	pertains 33:10	premeeting 56:3
	PG&E 2:18 3:10 4:13,16 5:6 7:1 9:10 10:7,17 13:17 14:22 20:1,8, 12,25 21:16 23:14 24:15 25:1,9, 15,17,18 30:8,18,25 31:2,17 32:12,15,19,23 33:6,17 34:1 35:24,25 42:5,18 43:11 44:24 45:1,2 46:9 47:8 48:21 50:13,19 52:8,12 57:19,22 58:2,6 61:5 63:6,19	prep 69:25
	PG&E's 25:2 31:4	preparing 30:19 31:2
	PG&E-TO-PANEL 67:14	prescriptive 45:9
	PGE 64:5	present 3:11,20,25 6:7 8:2,25 13:6 41:25 56:24 63:6
	phase 12:12,21	presentation 16:20 30:25 31:4 39:17,19 65:17,21
	Pismo 18:14	presentations 3:23 8:21 35:15 53:24 58:17
		presented 10:17 39:2
		presenting 3:7 10:10
		presents 10:17

preservation 36:16	provide 3:18 9:1,3,14 10:14 15:11 19:21 20:3,8,14,21 21:7 52:9 55:5,23 59:8	quick 28:13 38:25 70:4
preserve 18:14 19:3 45:14 52:15 58:15	provided 20:1	quickly 68:22
preserved 36:10	provision 27:7	<hr/> R <hr/>
preserving 36:14	proximity 69:22	radioactive 51:13
pretend 65:1	prudence 33:20	radiological 49:2
pretty 41:23 44:10	prudent 33:13,14	radiologically 50:17
prevented 58:2	public 2:2 3:19,20 5:6,16 6:1,8, 12 8:14,18,24 9:1,4,12,15,20 10:2,11,24 11:11 12:10 13:15 15:17,25 16:14 19:13 23:12,15 26:16,24,25 30:7,20 35:13,17 36:3,4,11,12,21,23 39:9 41:25 46:18,21 47:17 48:13 49:8 51:17 52:19 53:7,8,13 54:4,13,21 55:2, 8,19 56:9,14,23 57:9 58:1,9 64:5 67:18,20,25 68:11,13,20 69:22 71:13 72:21	raise 2:8 13:20
primarily 60:17	public's 52:19	rate 33:15
prior 11:19 40:11	PUC 3:10 6:7 10:17 13:18 14:10 16:10 24:21,22,24 29:9,10 50:13, 16,21,25 56:24 62:20 63:1,16,18, 25	ratemaking 14:8 63:5,18,23
pristine 35:11	PUC's 26:2	ratepayer 31:24
problem 51:13,14 56:13 61:3	pulling 71:24	Ratepayer's 63:12
problems 7:17,18 18:23 66:12	purely 33:19	ratepayers 30:8 31:15,17 32:24 48:4 60:8
procedures 15:19,22,24	purpose 40:1 45:13	rates 13:20 30:23
proceed 46:18 59:18	purposely 21:17	re-informed 43:4
proceeding 16:7 62:17 63:5,24	purview 40:1,3	reach 27:16,20 71:16
proceedings 11:19	put 4:16 7:4 17:17 18:8,10,11 25:9 27:21 39:7,10 50:24 56:3 57:16 58:17 61:17,21 71:4	reactors 5:14 47:5 51:8
process 3:12 4:6,8,18 5:8,9,18 10:3,24 11:3,6,9,14,21 12:1,6,9, 13,21 13:7,8,21,25 15:18,21 17:8, 14 22:2,16,20 23:12,15 24:22 30:6,7,11 33:21 34:17 39:4 43:17 44:6 46:9 47:7 63:18 68:8 71:5,6, 9,19	putting 22:18 26:15 65:3	read 10:13 11:17 51:16
processes 15:17	<hr/> Q <hr/>	real 11:17 66:2 68:22
profit 33:18	quality 7:16 11:21 32:5 49:9	reason 26:3
program 45:5	quarterly 6:23 70:9	reasonable 33:13
progresses 17:11	question 22:7 32:12 33:5,9 42:2, 5 44:14 45:20 51:2 60:10 61:13 70:4 71:18	reassure 20:10
project 12:20 19:16 23:21 42:20 57:20	questions 13:1 17:18 34:25 37:12 39:5 48:6 50:1 51:4 53:4 58:21 64:3 66:10 67:22 70:1,17	recall 38:19
properties 37:1		receive 10:22 68:16
property 32:24 40:14,21 42:6 44:8 47:9 57:14		received 3:21 4:19 6:11 8:18 10:5 35:16 47:16 68:1,18,21,23
proposal 23:10 26:15 46:3 62:4		recently 43:5 57:8
proposals 26:22 47:15		recognition 36:19 37:20,24 38:20 39:22,24 40:4,22
propose 62:7		recognize 30:5 55:20 71:22
prosperity 7:5		recognized 36:20 40:5,16
protected 31:22 36:25		recognizes 40:19
protecting 36:14		recognizing 9:13
protection 49:19		recollection 38:22
		recommend 39:21
		recommendation 27:17 29:8 59:5,11 61:20

recommendations 2:4 3:10,14,
15 7:25 8:2,8 9:8,13,16 10:6 11:3
17:3 28:10 35:3 43:17 46:14 47:1
48:7 54:2,19 71:6,25

recommending 38:5,8

reconsider 26:9

reconvene 53:6,10,12

record 28:23 42:22 43:11

recorded 70:12

recreational 52:13

redraft 10:14

reference 72:4

referenced 23:2

reflect 2:20 3:3 40:25

reflection 10:11

reflects 39:3 41:22

regard 3:9,20 7:25 24:10 32:21
40:15,22 41:15 46:15 70:23

region 37:1

regular 43:8

regulator 23:17

regulatory 12:24 23:7 26:18

rejected 50:14,15,25

related 42:19 45:20

relationship 18:7 68:18

relative 17:14 58:22

relevant 27:11

relicensing 26:19

rely 61:4

remain 31:9 46:2

remains 31:22

remarks 24:11

remember 22:22 32:22 33:11
38:21

remind 64:17

remiss 2:20 3:3

removal 49:1

remove 48:5 50:9,16,19

removed 37:7 48:24

rent 52:25

repaired 44:1

replace 60:6

replaced 62:6

replacement 42:20

report 6:8 8:22 9:9 10:18 17:13,
17 27:19 56:8,24 59:6 61:19,20
72:4

reports 8:19

represent 14:23

representation 16:22

representative 20:25

representatives 12:23

repurpose 5:25 47:12

repurposed 32:2 47:16,21 48:15
50:7 52:5

repurposing 3:14 11:4 28:15
31:7 47:1 48:21,22,25 49:6,14,16,
21 50:5,6 51:15,18 68:15 69:8

request 4:16 13:18 27:9 36:18
37:19,22 39:8,23 40:3,6,13 50:15
58:5 59:6 61:16,17 67:13,14,17

requesting 16:16

required 24:24 44:25

requirement 26:2 44:21 50:19

requirements 23:7 35:21

requires 33:11 34:19 42:14

research 20:15 52:15

researched 31:17

resolved 3:8 16:8

resource 8:19 36:10

resources 14:19,21 15:8 36:3,15
49:20 69:10

respects 18:8

respond 55:2

response 23:10 55:3

responsibilities 59:8

responsible 30:19

responsive 59:23

responsiveness 61:7

rest 61:18

restate 10:15

restrict 44:22

restriction 42:11,15,23

retainer 61:10

retired 23:11

return 47:9

reutilized 52:24

review 9:1,16 24:22 29:15 54:14
60:7

revision 41:9,10 43:9

revisions 10:21 72:17

revisit 50:5

risks 3:2

road 5:1 43:25 44:1 50:11

robust 11:12 14:10 16:5,11 25:19
62:15

role 23:19

room 2:14 4:14

rubble 50:24

run 25:18

runs 70:16

S

sacred 7:12 36:16

safe 7:18 37:6

safely 64:18

safer 5:12

safest 51:19

safety 2:5,8 12:7 17:22 19:1,23,
25 20:5 22:12 23:8 24:20 26:3
27:5 29:11 31:19 32:3 48:23 49:8
59:7,18 60:5,21 61:5,11 62:5,10
65:16,22 69:19

SAFSTOR 12:2,5

sale 31:24

San 4:14 5:11 19:7 30:17 37:3,4

58:20 69:11	shaped 35:18	SONGS 16:19 22:22 23:5 65:9,11 66:6
satisfied 43:2	share 6:6 9:19 14:22 16:13 27:2	sore 49:12
satisfy 43:9	shareholders 33:19	sort 16:16 28:17 29:19 51:10 52:15
save 31:17	sharing 71:8	south 2:23 35:24
scattered 59:22	Sherri 24:9 25:22 39:6 45:19 53:22 71:23	southernmost 57:13
schedule 6:23 54:11 55:8,17	ship 50:19,20,23	sovereignty 38:3
scheduled 19:2 51:8,9	shoot 54:20	spades 21:9
scientists 7:13	shooting 9:2 54:11	spaghetti 65:2
scope 30:13 47:22	short 8:3 45:4 58:4 71:24	speak 8:3 17:16 20:3 46:24 53:15 64:24
scrap 66:24	showed 22:14	special 13:13 22:10
search 47:11	showing 42:4	specific 8:10 38:2 47:15
searched 60:11	shown 8:17	specifically 27:10 58:5
second-to-the-last 68:25	shows 26:7	spectacular 36:10
section 41:19	shut 5:14	spend 33:20 65:9
secure 37:6	shutdown 11:20,23 12:2 22:3 51:8,10	spending 65:19
security 48:23	shutting 51:11	spent 6:19,20 10:19 14:5 21:1,23 24:2 27:13 31:7 37:7 48:23 56:25 57:1 64:23 68:12 69:4
seek 34:2	side 66:8	spoke 32:17
seeking 21:10 33:5 48:13	significant 27:14 31:8 36:2 65:13 69:21	spot 22:19
Seeley 4:7,9 7:9 17:21 18:18 29:8 44:14,18 45:2,16,18 51:6 56:22 60:10 66:15,21,23 67:6 72:8	significantly 35:18	square 35:22 48:9
sees 9:15	similar 8:12 69:7 70:12	staffing 21:16
seismic 29:16,17	similarity 18:21	stakeholder 5:7
selecting 4:20	simple 8:4 45:14	stakeholders 60:17
selection 24:21	site 7:3 14:5 37:8 48:24 50:23,25	standpoint 68:11
send 27:2 28:7 59:6 70:14 72:5	sites 36:15,16	start 14:2 16:7 25:17
sense 54:17 55:25 56:18 68:5	sitting 26:24 60:24	started 4:16 18:11 68:6,16 70:7
sensitive 36:14	situation 34:1,20	starting 14:9
sensitively 7:1	size 30:12 48:3,11	starts 37:19
separate 33:3 34:18	slice 33:18	state 2:21 3:2 15:5,14,15 21:7 33:11 34:14,15 37:1 40:10 48:1 50:21,23 57:19
September 27:23 28:9 47:14 57:4 68:15	slide 30:16 37:17 47:22 48:18 50:3	state's 25:5
serve 15:3 28:5 60:14	slightly 40:25	statement 6:6,9,13 8:3 10:10,16, 22 21:14,15,18 27:8 39:21 40:3, 24 41:20 43:17 46:1 49:4 51:16 54:13,18 64:12,20
served 26:17	sling 11:13	
service 19:16	society's 7:17	
set 10:6 28:23 69:5	solution 18:25	
settlement 5:6 63:15,24	someplace 34:1	

statements 8:25 9:2 11:25 31:13
36:7 48:19 54:6 72:1

states 15:12 34:9,10,12,18 51:7

status 42:3 43:6

Stay 10:24

staying 15:8

steam 42:20 43:19

steward 36:1

stewardship 45:5

storage 6:19,21 7:18 37:6 48:2,
24 51:20 69:14,20

stored 14:5

stories 42:16

story 58:3,4

straight 28:23

strategy 9:11

Street 2:16

strength 14:14,21

strictly 43:10

strong 47:19

strong-willed 28:25

stronger 5:12 16:3 25:19 62:15

strongly 12:4 13:11 50:6

structure 14:14 25:13 28:4 34:11

structured 8:1

structures 45:11 50:7

stuff 15:25

stunning 5:3

subcommittee 28:1

subject 13:25 42:8

subjected 15:17

submissions 11:19

submit 9:4,5 46:24 56:19

submits 53:8

submittal 31:3

submitted 8:22 9:10 16:16 31:4
68:2

submitting 6:17 10:7 41:8

subsequently 23:13

subsidiary 35:25 57:22

substance 26:20

substantial 47:18 68:16 69:15

substantially 69:23

substitution 24:18

success 30:6

successful 26:6 69:7

successor 12:19

sufficient 30:5 31:22

suggest 46:6 62:13

suggested 12:10 45:25 54:17

suggesting 24:12 63:2

suggestion 17:6 27:18 59:15

suggestions 9:8,24

suggests 12:3

summaries 8:20

summarize 47:19

supplemental 8:17

supplemented 72:2

support 12:5 14:21 16:24 17:14
24:15 36:4 37:23 39:24 40:8
47:20 50:6 59:9 65:24 72:3

supported 21:16 71:23

supporting 46:1

supports 47:6

surrounded 35:10

surrounding 32:4 35:20 36:5,8
38:21

survey 43:2,10

suspect 27:6

sustain 15:9 53:2

sustainable 14:10 16:5,11 62:16

sustained 49:7

swell 54:2

swim 22:15

synthesizer 72:9

T

table 44:7 57:19,24 58:6

tact 22:22

taking 4:11 24:16 38:22 65:10

talk 20:25 22:21,24 34:8 46:22
53:8 57:10 59:14 65:8 67:12

talked 11:24 23:19 52:3 54:15
65:11 68:7 71:25

target 42:8

task 8:12

technical 12:19,22 16:23 17:25
19:21 20:10,20 21:6,7 25:25
27:11 29:14 59:8 60:12,14,19,22,
23 65:23

tenancy 45:1

tenant 49:11

tens 72:3

tentative 69:18

terms 17:8 23:6 25:24 42:25

terraces 49:19

terrific 51:19

testimony 36:21

text 40:11

Thanksgiving 55:7,11,13,14

thing 7:10 16:3 18:2,6,21 26:23
27:4,16 38:3 44:4 56:9 57:7
62:13,20 63:21 70:19

things 5:13 16:15 21:1 22:11
23:20,22 24:10 26:13 47:24 48:2
54:10 66:3 70:20,21,22

thinking 39:8 66:23

third-party 42:23

thought 16:14 20:17 21:11,13
37:21 50:4 57:9 62:9,23

thoughtful 65:5

thoughts 6:16 9:20 17:19 52:20
64:4,15

thousands 48:4,5 50:10

Thursday 55:15,16

tilhini 39:12	tribal 12:22	utilities 5:6 6:8 12:10 19:13 30:7, 20 41:25 57:9 58:1
time 8:15 9:14 10:17 14:19 17:11 18:4,9 20:7,8,9 21:1 22:2,6 27:24 36:20 37:7,15 38:13 48:24 49:7 50:13 51:24 53:6 55:16,23 61:2 65:1,4,20 67:9 68:19 70:8 71:24 72:19	tribe 37:25 38:2,20	utility 29:1 30:23 44:22
timely 12:6	triennial 10:18 11:19 16:7 62:17	<hr/> V <hr/>
times 3:1 28:22 59:21 70:10	trip 19:6 58:25 59:1 65:10 67:2 69:11	van 19:7
timing 62:23	trips 58:13	variety 35:15
title 57:22	trouble 57:25	vendor 69:23
tityu 39:12	truckloads 48:5	verified 33:21
today 28:20 45:14	trucks 50:11	Vermont 15:12 25:10
told 18:23 41:23	trust 30:24 31:21 32:21,22,25 33:3	version 45:24 56:20
Tom 12:13 22:18,19,24 42:18 43:14 44:14 45:21 66:17 69:2	tuned 10:24	vested 28:19
tomorrow 62:16 67:11	two-day 59:1	Victor 65:11 67:12
tonight 2:6 3:4,6,11 6:5 8:25 9:22 10:3 26:25 27:17,18 35:7,8, 19 59:5 67:10	type 20:18 40:20	Victor's 65:17,21
tonight's 2:3 3:6	<hr/> U <hr/>	videos 70:13
top 47:24	U.S. 37:2	view 13:6 56:15
topic 7:19 8:6 11:5 27:23 29:25 31:9 32:10 35:1,12 38:10 46:17, 19 68:13 69:1	unanimous 41:21	views 4:1
topics 3:12,24 6:1 9:17,21 11:2 28:15 70:5	unbelievable 3:1	vision 6:2,6,9,13 8:3,25 9:2 10:10,16,22 11:24 13:10,15 16:4, 12 21:14,15,18 27:8 31:13 35:18 36:7 40:6 41:19,22 46:1 48:19 51:16 54:6,13,18 56:5 59:17 64:12,20 72:1
total 12:4	uncontaminated 32:1	visions 53:24
touch 33:2	undefined 23:16	voice 21:9 41:21 64:11
tour 5:1 66:18,24 69:17	understand 16:14 32:20 33:5 34:4 42:12 44:15	volumes 10:21
touring 66:25	understanding 13:15 14:20	volunteer 19:11 61:2
tours 18:12	undertake 59:7	volunteered 23:12
track 8:11 43:7	unequal 30:11	volunteers 5:10 14:18 24:12 60:19 61:1
traffic 49:8	union 60:18	vote 21:18
trail 45:8	unique 21:5,8 35:21 36:2 52:16	<hr/> W <hr/>
transfer 18:15 62:21	United 51:7	wanted 5:7 8:1 18:3 21:19 29:1 51:6 52:2 56:22 57:7 58:12 62:5 65:8 68:21
transparent 31:15	university 18:19	warehouse 48:11
treasure 36:9	unlike 22:22 30:11	washed 56:11
tremendous 64:11	unmanaged 40:16	waste 7:19 51:13,20
trend 51:11	unpaid 18:5	
	unprecedented 2:23	
	unusual 55:14	
	update 58:9	
	used-fuel 69:12	
	usefully 50:7	

watch 65:21,24 66:7 70:15

ways 8:1

web 25:9

website 70:11,13

Wednesday 5:19

week 55:2,7,10 58:8

weekend 55:22

weeks 6:10 9:3

well-being 12:8

wide 51:20

wider 43:25

wild 7:11 18:14 42:7 57:11,12

Wildland 57:16,18

Wildlife 18:13

willingness 64:24

wind 19:3 53:1 58:14

window 55:5

witnessed 28:20

Wolves 19:3 58:14

wondered 59:4

wonderful 51:17

wondering 42:3 62:25

WOODRUFF 10:9 28:13 38:25
41:18 43:13 44:4 46:7 54:10,23
55:1,21 57:7 71:13

woodwork 66:4

wording 46:4,5

words 21:17

work 24:14 26:4 28:8 41:9,11
44:20 46:7 65:5 71:4 72:6,10,12

worked 14:12,13

workers 32:4

working 6:9 18:6 22:20 26:17
41:13 42:22 43:7 69:5,9

works 55:17

workshop 6:18

workshops 3:17 5:21,24,25
6:12,19 8:20 35:13 47:13 69:6

world 51:9,20

worldwide 51:14

worn 53:13

worst 14:3

worth 21:3 23:25 34:8 39:18
65:20

wrap 53:20

wrestle 28:9

wrestling 48:7

write 17:13 56:6,23,25 57:2

writing 61:17,21 71:22 72:5

written 21:15 56:5 62:4

wrote 57:8 58:7

Y

yak 39:12

Yankee 25:10

Yard 47:25

year 6:23 10:19 16:9 27:13,22
28:20 55:14,17 57:25 59:21

years 7:2 14:3 17:10 30:21 42:9
51:10

yellowish-green 42:10

York 15:13

Z

zeroing 71:5